Tenable Cyber Exposure Study - DORA

Last Revised: January 07, 2025

Copyright © 2025 Tenable, Inc. All rights reserved. Tenable, Tenable Nessus, Tenable Lumin, Assure, and the Tenable logo are registered trademarks of Tenable, Inc. or its affiliates. All other products or services are trademarks of their respective owners.

Table of Contents

Tenable Cyber Exposure Study - DORA	1
DORA Regulation	4
Summary	
Scope	
Getting Started	
Key Pillars	7
Comparisons Between DORA and NIS2	
Scope	
Focus and Purpose	
Third-Party Risk Management	10
Supervision and Enforcement	
Summary of Key Differences	
How Tenable Helps	11
ICT Risk Management	
Prioritising Risk	
Lumin Exposure View	14
Risk Based Vulnerability Management	
Remediation Tracking	
Asset Inventory and Discovery	
Identity Management and Access Control	
Additional Resources: Exposure Management	
Tenable One	
IoT and Tenable One	

	Digital Operational Resilience Testing	.39
	Scan Health	42
Third	-Party Risk Management	45
Learn	Nore	52

DORA Regulation

The Digital Operational Resilience Act (DORA) is a European Union (EU) regulation intended to strengthen the Information and Communications Technology (ICT) of the financial sector.

Summary

In 2020 the European Commission introduced a set of regulatory proposals to support digital innovation and modernise the European Union's (EU) financial sector. The Digital Finance Package (DFP) strives to position the EU as a leader in digital finance innovation, while protecting customers and safeguarding financial stability. The DFP includes four main components:

- 1. **Regulation on Markets in Crypto-Assets (MiCA)** The goal of MiCA is to establish a regulatory framework for crypto-assets and related services.
- 2. **Digital Operational Resilience Act (DORA)** The goal of DORA is to ensure that financial institutions and service providers within the EU can withstand, respond, and recover from operational threats and disruptions.
- 3. **Pilot Regime for Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT)** The goal of DLT is to create a temporary framework for financial institutions to experiment with blockchain and other DLTs to evaluate risks.
- 4. Retail Payments Strategy (RPS) The goal of RPS is to support the development of efficient payment solutions for the EU.

The focus of this Cyber Exposure Study is on DORA. The regulation, which will come into force on 17th January 2025, imposes obligations on financial entities, but also on their digital service providers, which must review their procedures, contracts, mechanisms and tools on a regular basis to ensure information systems security. DORA was originally adopted in 2022. DORA ensures that financial institutions can withstand, respond, and recover from all types of ICT related disruptions, thereby enhancing the operational resilience of all financial systems across the EU. The Digital Operational Resilience Act (DORA) is a European Union (EU) regulation intended to strengthen the Information and Communications Technology (ICT) of the financial sector.

Scope

DORA applies to a wide range of financial entities including (See DORA Article 2 for a complete list):

- Banks
- Payment Services Provider
- Investment Firms
- Insurance Companies
- and other financial market infrastructures.
- ICT Service providers such as Cloud Providers, Data Centers, and Software Providers who support financial institutions are also included.
- HOWEVER, DORA does not apply to all financial institutions, as DORA does not apply to
 - Small enterprises, that employs 10 or more persons, but fewer than 50 persons, and have an annual turnover and/or annual balance sheet total that exceeds EUR 2 million, but do not exceed EUR 10 million;
 - medium-sized enterprises, that employ fewer than 250 persons and have an annual turnover that does not exceed EUR 50 million and/or an annual balance sheet that does not exceed EUR 43 million;
 - ° or
 - microenterprises, which employs fewer than 10 people and have an annual turnover and/or annual balance sheet total that do not exceed EUR 2 million

DORA represents the first attempt to streamline ICT risk management in the financial sector in the EU. Other EU legislation such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), and the Network and Information Systems Directive (NIS) is principle based. Rather, DORA contains detailed lists of requirements including additional documents called Regulatory Technical Standards (RTS). Where DORA differs from the NIS/NIS2 is the sectors that are applicable. NIS applies to the critical infrastructure sectors and DORA applies only to financial sectors and is critical for third-party ICT providers. Any overlap between the two are addressed via a *lex specialis* exemption, meaning that in case of conflict, DORA applies first.

Notes related to Requirement 3: This requirement is related to the controls around account data that is printed or stored in any form. Account data is both cardholder data and sensitive authentication data. While this requirement is not supported by Tenable directly, the recommended practice here is to keep storage of account data to a minimum. Do not store sensitive authentication data (SAD) after authorization. Restrict

he display of the full primary account number (PAN) and cardholder data. And secure the PAN, account lata, and any cryptographic keys used to protect the data when they are stored.

0 -

Getting Started

Getting started with the Digital Operational Resilience Act involves developing a comprehensive approach to ICT risk management which aligns with DORA's requirements. DORA covers policies, procedures, tools, strategies, roles and responsibilities, to managing ICT risk within the financial sector. To begin, financial entities must understand the DORA regulation, especially sections relevant to an organisation's sector and size.

Key Pillars

Overall, DORA comprises nine Chapters, and contains 64 Articles, based on the current text at the time of this writing. In addition, the European Union has introduced regulations supplementing the above regulation. These are:

- Regulatory Technical Standards (RTS) and
- Implementing Technical Standards (ITS)

DORA contains 5 Key Pillars that provide a structured approach to enhance ICT agility and bolster ICT risk management frameworks for financial entities.

These pillars are:

- 1. ICT Risk Management (Chapter II, Article 5-16)
 - a. Financial institutions are required to implement robust ICT risk management frameworks, and must assess and mitigate risks related to ICT systems and processes, to manage cyber threats and ensure business continuity.
- 2. ICT Incident Reporting (Chapter III, Article 17-33)
 - a. DORA introduces mandatory reporting requirements for ICT related incidents. Financial entities must report, in a timely manner, major incidents to their national authorities.
- 3. Digital Operational Resilience Testing (Chapter IV, Article 24-27)
 - a. Institutions must regularly test the effectiveness of their ICT systems to ensure resilience against disruptions, including stress tests and simulation exercises.
- 4. ICT Third Party Risk Management (Chapter V, Article 28-44)

a. Third party ICT Providers must meet the same operational requirements. There must be appropriate monitoring and oversight.

O

- 5. Information Sharing (Chapter VI, Article 45)
 - a. Fostering information sharing and collaboration within the financial sector.

Comparisons Between DORA and NIS2

DORA and the NIS2 Directive are both part of the EU's efforts to enhance cybersecurity across critical sectors. However, they differ in scope, focus, and the industries they regulate. Article 1(2) of DORA provides that, in relation to financial entities covered by the NIS 2 Directive and the corresponding rules, DORA shall be considered sector-specific. This statement is mirrored in recital (28) of the preamble to the NIS 2 Directive, which states that DORA should be considered a sector-specific Union legal act in relation to the NIS Directive with regard to financial entities.

In terms of the financial institution, DORA will apply instead of NIS 2 in most of the cases. When dealing with ICT risk management (Article 6), management of ICT related incidents, and major ICT related incident reporting (Article 17), digital resilience testing (Article 24), information sharing (Article 25), and ICT third-party risk (Article 28), DORA provisions shall apply instead of those provided by the NIS 2 Directive for financial entities. Understanding how DORA and NIS 2 compare is an important step towards compliance.

Here is a comparison of the two.

First, what is the difference between a Directive and a Regulation?

Directives, such as the NIS 2, are legislative acts that set out a goal that EU countries must achieve.. Implementation of those standards are left to the member states, whether by law, regulation or other initiative. The EU merely sets the deadlines for implementation.

Regulations, such as DORA, are binding legislative acts. These must be applied in their entirety across the EU. as if they were a local law. Member states may pass their own laws for implementation, but the regulation will apply regardless.

Scope

DORA: Focus is exclusively on the financial sector.

NIS2: Focus is broader, covering essential and important entities in multiple sectors beyond just financial services (energy, transport, healthcare, and more).

Focus and Purpose

DORA: Specific focus within the financial sector is on managing ICT risks, such as cyberattacks, IT system failures, and third-party dependencies. DORA ensures that financial entities have frameworks in place to prevent, respond, and recover from disruptions. Specific reporting

requirements for ICT related incidents are defined. Stress testing and third party risk management are also included.

NIS2: Specific focus is on enhancing cybersecurity and network information systems security across all critical sectors in the EU. NIS2 strives to improve the overall resilience of essential services, making sectors less vulnerable to cybersecurity threats, improving cybersecurity and cross border collaboration between member states. NIS2 also establishes reporting obligations for entities with significant cybersecurity incidents that affect confidentiality, integrity, or availability of networks and systems.

Third-Party Risk Management

DORA: Introduces requirements for financial entities to manage risks arising from their third-party ICT service providers (cloud computing, software vendors)

NIS2: Similar requirements for third-party providers to meet security standards, but on a broader scale, aimed at protecting entities in a variety of critical sectors, not just financial services.

Supervision and Enforcement

DORA: Financial entities and their ICT providers will be supervised by both national financial authorities and European Supervisory Authorities (ESAs), which are European Banking Authority (EBA), European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA) and European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA). Financial regulators will monitor compliance and impose sanctions on entities that fail to meet the operational resilience standards within DORA.

NIS2: Supervision and enforcement are conducted by national authorities in each EU member state, who are responsible for monitoring compliance across sectors. NIS2 penalties and sanctions for non-compliance are more stringent.

Summary of Key Differences

DORA is tailored to the financial industry's unique needs. The NIS2 Directive is a more general framework applicable across multiple critical sectors, strengthening the role of the EU Agency for Cybersecurity (ENISA). DORA while specific to the financial sector emphasises operational resilience, ICT risk management, and third-party dependencies within financial services. NIS2 is much broader, focuses on a range of critical industries, and an emphasis on network and information security. Both strengthen resilience to cyber threats.

How Tenable Helps

Tenable assists organisations who are required to comply with DORA by providing the information required to address compliance within Chapter II, ICT Risk Management, and Chapter IV Digital Operational Resilience Testing. Chapter V, Managing of ICT third-party risk, largely covers procedures, and contractual provisions, however, Tenable can assist financial institutions in the identification of third party software vendors, hardware vendors, and cloud service providers. In addition to that, Tenable offers solutions that can help meet the RTS requirements in terms of risk management.

ICT Risk Management

ICT Management can be broken down into 2 areas, risk management and incident reporting. Key elements within these areas is the organisation's ability to identify and prioritise gaps and risks, including implementation of plans to outline the steps, timelines, and resources required to address the identified risks. A significant portion of DORA outlines requirements for policies and procedures, and are therefore not measurable by scanning. However, a number of items can be checked, validated, measured, and tracked. Those requirements which can be supported in all or part include:

Chapter II, ICT Risk Management

- Article 5.1 2. The management body of the financial entity shall define, approve, oversee and be responsible for the implementation of all arrangements related to the ICT risk management framework referred to in Article 6(1).
- Article 8, Identification, says:
 - 1. As part of the ICT risk management framework referred to in Article 6(1), financial entities shall identify, classify and adequately document all ICT supported business functions, roles and responsibilities, the information assets and ICT assets supporting those functions, and their roles and dependencies in relation to ICT risk. Financial entities shall review as needed, and at least yearly, the adequacy of this classification and of any relevant documentation.
 - 2. Financial entities shall, on a continuous basis, identify all sources of ICT risk, in
 particular the risk exposure to and from other financial entities, and assess cyber threats
 and ICT vulnerabilities relevant to their ICT supported business functions, information
 assets and ICT assets. Financial entities shall review on a regular basis, and at least
 yearly, the risk scenarios impacting them.
 - 3. Financial entities, other than microenterprises, shall perform a risk assessment upon each major change in the network and information system infrastructure, in the processes or procedures affecting their ICT supported business functions, information assets or ICT assets.
 - 7. Financial entities, other than microenterprises, shall on a regular basis, and at least yearly, conduct a specific ICT risk assessment on all legacy ICT systems and, in any case before and after connecting technologies, applications or systems.

Chapter IV, Digital operational resilience testing, Article 25

- 1. (...) execution of appropriate tests, such as vulnerability assessments and scans;
- 2. Central securities depositories and central counterparties shall **perform vulnerability assessments before any deployment or redeployment of new or existing applications** and infrastructure components, and ICT services supporting critical or important functions of the financial entity;
- Microenterprises shall perform the tests (...) on the one hand, and the urgency, type of risk, criticality of information assets and of services provided, as well as any other relevant factor, including the financial entity's ability to take calculated risks, on the other hand.

In addition to DORA, Regulatory Technical Standards called <u>Commission Delegated Regulation</u> (EU) 2024/1774 of 13 March 2024 supplementing Regulation (EU) 2022/2554 of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to regulatory technical standards specifying ICT risk management tools, methods, processes, and policies and the simplified ICT risk management framework states in the Article 10 on Vulnerability and patch management the following:

- 1. As part of the ICT security policies, procedures, protocols, (...) financial entities shall **develop**, **document**, **and implement vulnerability management procedures**.
- (b) ensure the performance of automated vulnerability scanning and assessments on ICT assets (...), For the purposes of point (b), financial entities shall perform the automated vulnerability scanning and assessments on ICT assets for the ICT assets supporting critical or important functions on at least a weekly basis.
 - (c) verify whether:

(i) **ICT third-party service providers handle vulnerabilities** related to the ICT services provided to the financial entity;

- (f) prioritise the deployment of patches and other mitigation measures to address the vulnerabilities identified;
- (g) monitor and verify the remediation of vulnerabilities;
- (h) require the **recording of any detected vulnerabilities affecting ICT systems** and the monitoring of their resolution.

Prioritising Risk

One of the hardest tasks to accomplish is proper risk prioritisation and communication of risks and vulnerabilities. In addition to the Articles previously listed, the following DORA Articles are related to risk prioritisation efforts including risk based vulnerability management:

- Article 8.3, Financial entities, other than microenterprises, shall perform a risk assessment upon each major change in the network and information system infrastructure
- Article 9.4(b), Financial entities shall follow a risk-based approach, establish a sound network and infrastructure management structure using appropriate techniques, methods and protocols
- Article 16.1 (d), allow sources of ICT risk and anomalies in the network and information systems to be promptly identified and detected

In this section the following Tenable products will be highlighted:

- Lumin Exposure View
- Tenable Security Center
- Tenable Vulnerability Management

Lumin Exposure View

Tenable Lumin Exposure View provides at-a-glance insight into all weaknesses and exposures. Tenable Lumin Exposure View combines data sources from all Tenable solutions, including IT assets, identity systems, cloud resources, web applications, and your OT infrastructure. Lumin Exposure View provides the exposure cards, which allows easy identification of problem areas so resources can be applied properly where needed. An exposure card represents incoming data from configured tags and data sources. This data is aggregated and normalised to provide a visual representation of your Cyber Exposure Score (CES) and other metrics. Note: Exposure cards can be customised or Tenable provided cards can be used.

The CES is presented under the letter grade, in the form of a number such as 167/1000. The CES score is a value from 0-1000, with higher values indicating higher exposure and higher risk.



For more in-depth information on prioritising risk with Lumin Exposure view, refer to the following <u>Risk Assessment section of the NIS 2 Cyber Exposure Study</u>. Also, you can follow this link for more information on <u>Lumin Exposure View</u>.

Risk Based Vulnerability Management

Risk-Based Vulnerability Management (RBVM) is a process that reduces vulnerabilities across the attack surface by prioritising remediation based on the risks they pose to the organisation. Unlike legacy vulnerability management, risk-based vulnerability management goes beyond discovering vulnerabilities, by helping organisations understand vulnerability risks, by introducing threat context and insight into potential business impact.

RBVM eliminates guesswork, by taking a risk-based approach to vulnerability management, security teams can focus on the vulnerabilities and assets that matter most and address the organization's true business risk instead of wasting valuable time on vulnerabilities attackers may not likely exploit. If you're new to risk-based vulnerability management, check out this <u>comparison guide</u>. The guide breaks down the differences between legacy vulnerability management and risk-based vulnerability

management with insight into how a risk-approach can make your organisation's vulnerability management program more efficient and effective. In addition to the Articles previously listed, the following DORA Articles are related to vulnerability management efforts:

- Article 8.2, Financial entities shall, on a continuous basis, identify all sources of ICT risk
- Article 8.3, Financial entities shall identify all information assets and ICT assets, including those on remote sites, network resources and hardware equipment
- Article 9.1, Financial entities shall continuously monitor and control the security and functioning of ICT systems and tools
- Article 10.1, Financial entities shall have in place mechanisms to promptly detect anomalous activities
- Article 16.1 (b), continuously monitor the security and functioning of all ICT systems

In this section the following Tenable products will be highlighted:

- Tenable Security Center
- Tenable Vulnerability Management

With the principles of Cyber Exposure Management in mind, dashboards, such as the <u>InfoSec Team</u> - <u>One Stop Shop Comprehensive Attack Surface</u> dashboard for Tenable Security Center helps the organisation team maintain a high level of awareness and vigilance. The filters and components are tailored to guide teams in detecting, predicting, and acting to reduce risk across their entire attack surface. Information security teams are empowered to analyse findings, remediate identified risks, track progress, and measure success against the organisation's charter and SLAs.

Organizations often have teams that focus on the detailed information relevant to the teams' assets; or operational focus areas, such as Windows, Linux, databases, or network infrastructure. However, organisations with teams that focus on a specific group of assets benefit from using custom asset lists. Information security teams can visualise findings against assets that are "owned by" or "assigned to" specific teams within the organisation using this method. Additionally, an Output Assets filter can be set to provide greater insight into where additional resources need to be allocated to mitigate vulnerabilities.

The Output Assets filter is only available when using the Asset Summary Tool. When this tool is selected, you have the option to refine the filters to include specific Asset information.

Jata			
YPE	rability 🗸		
UERY Selec	t a Query 🗸		
OURCE	lative 🗸		
OOL Asset	Summary 🗸		
LTERS			
Vulnerability Priority	Rating Between 9 and 10		
Vulnerability Publish	Within the last 30 days		
Vulnerability Publish	Within the last 30 days	٩	~ ×
Vulnerability Publish	Within the last 30 days Search Select All	Q	✓ X
Vulnerability Publisi	Within the last 30 days Search Select All 1737 - ASSET	Q	√ x
Vulnerability Publis	Within the last 30 days Search Select All 1737 - ASSET 1737 - static - adtran	Q 1737 - ASSET	✓ x
Vulnerability Publis	Within the last 30 days Search Select All 1737 - ASSET 1737 - static - adtran 1737 - static - alcatel	Q 1737 - ASSET 1737 - ASSET	✓ X
Vulnerability Publisi	Within the last 30 days Search Select All 1737 - ASSET 1737 - static - adtran 1737 - static - alcatel 1737 - static - apple	Q 1737 - ASSET 1737 - ASSET 1737 - ASSET	✓ X

For Tenable Vulnerability Management, dashboards such as the <u>Vulnerability Management</u>. <u>Program Health</u> dashboard shown in the following image, helps security operations teams ensure their scanning program is appropriately maintained for an evolving operational technology landscape aligned with business strategy.



There are many factors that can adversely affect the scope and accuracy of scan data, such as failed credentials, network problems, or licence limitations. This dashboard provides security analysts comprehensive information to monitor the health of their scanning program.

Analysts can drill into the summary information displayed in the dashboard to troubleshoot upstream scanning problems that can adversely impact downstream reporting to stakeholders.

For more information, see the <u>Vulnerability Management Cyber Exposure Study</u>.

Remediation Tracking

Unpatched assets expose organisations to vulnerabilities that are actively being exploited. End of life assets may pose the greatest risk since they are unsupported and no longer receiving security updates or support from the vendor. Tenable provides the Outstanding Remediation Tracking dashboard for Tenable Vulnerability Management and Outstanding Remediations Tracking. In addition to the Articles previously listed, the following DORA Articles are related to remediation tracking efforts:

• Article 9.4(f), have appropriate and comprehensive documented policies for patches and updates

In this section the following Tenable products will be highlighted:

• Tenable Security Center

Tenable Vulnerability Management

itstatiunity ne	nediation Trac	king (Explore)						Jump to Dashboard	- BB Dashboards	Share [→ Export ~
Outstanding Remediatio	ns - Time since Patch Pul	olication (1)			1	Outstanding Microsoft Rem	nediations - Time since P	atch Publication (i)			
	Exploitable	Critical	High	Medium	Low		Exploitable	Critical	High	Medium	Low
< 30 Days	0	15	4	1	0	< 30 Days	o	13	3	0	0
31-90 Days	108	57	304	109	64	31-90 Days	31	14	37	3	0
91-180 Days	1.2K	233	1.3K	855	6	91-180 Days	132	89	253	47	0
181+ Days	30.2К	10K	33.6K	13.7K	1.2K	181+ Days	8.6K	3.4K	8.9K	1.6K	189
Outstanding Remediatio	ns Ry Device Type (i)					End of Life Software Detec	tion (i)				
Outstanding Remediatio	ns By Device Type 🛈				÷	End of Life Software Detec	tion (i)	me	Count	Firs	t Value of Original Se
Outstanding Remediatio	ns By Device Type ③ Exploitable Vulnerabilities	Critical Vulnerabilities	High Vulnerabilities	Medium Vulnerabilities	Low Vulnerabilities	End of Life Software Detec Plugin ID 33850	tion () Plugin Na Unix Ope	me rating System Un	Count 55	Firs	t Value of Original So Critical
Outstanding Remediatio	Exploitable Vulnerabilities	Critical Vulnerabilities 5.3K	High Vulnerabilities	Medium Vulnerabilities 9.5K	Eow Vulnerabilities	End of Life Software Detec Plugin ID 33850 59196	tion () Plugin Na Unix Ope Adobe Fi	me rating System Un ash Player Unsup	Count 55 37	• Firs	t Value of Original St Critical Critical
Outstanding Remediation	Strange Strang	Critical Vuinerabilities 5.3K 98	High Vulnerabilities 22.4K 705	Medium Vulnerabilities 9.5K 453	Low Vulnerabilities 838 70	End of Life Software Detec Plugin ID 33850 59196 22024 108797	tion () Plugin Na Unix Ope Adobe Fi Microsoft	me rating System Un ash Player Unsup Internet Explorer rted Windows OS	Count 55 37 28 27	 Firs (*) (*) (*) (*) 	t Value of Original So Critical Critical Critical Critical
Uutstanding Remediatio	Service Type ③ Exploitable Universitätes Uni	Critical Vulnerabilities 5.3K 98 168	High Vulnerabilities	Medium Vulnerabilities 9.5K 453 8	Low Vulnerabilities 838 70 4	End of Life Software Detec Plugin ID 33850 59196 22024 108797 59987	tion ① Plugin Na Unix Ope Adobe Fi Microsoft Unsuppo PHP Uns	me rating System Un ash Player Unsup Internet Explorer rted Windows OS upported Version	Count 55 37 28 27 14	Firs (1) (2) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3) (3)	t Value of Original So Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical
Outstanding Remediatio	Ins By Device Type ① Explorable Vulnerabilities 19.5K 211 301 44	Critical Vulnerabilities 5.3K 98 168 19	High Vulnerabilities 22.4K 705 236 179	Medium Vulnerabilities 9.5K 453 8 136	Low Vulnerabilities 838 70 4 2	End of Life Software Detect Plugin ID 33850 59196 22024 108797 58987 72704	Plugin Na Plugin Na Unix Ope Adobe Fl Microsoft Unsuppo PHP Uns Microsoft	me rating System Un ash Player Unsup Internet Explorer rited Windows OS upported Version NET Framework	Count 55 37 28 27 14 13	Firs () () () () () () () () () ()	t Value of Original So Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical



The Outstanding Remediations Tracking dashboard provides risk guidance using the "Remediation Summary" tool. This tool works by employing a concept called "top patch". Tenable.sc uses proprietary technology to identify a chain of patches. The first patch in the chain is called the "top patch." If the "top patch" is applied, all subsequent vulnerabilities will also be remediated at the same

time. Using both the Remediation Summary tool and "Patch Report" plugin, the organisation can better plan remediation efforts. Within Tenable Vulnerability Management several filters are used including those for unsupported products, patch publication date ranges.

The Nessus "Patch Report" plugin (66334) summarises all of the missing patches and general remediation actions required to remediate the discovered vulnerabilities on a given host. Instead of counting the number of vulnerabilities, the plugin lists applications that need to be upgraded. The approach is not only much easier for IT administrators to consume, but the count of applications provides a measure of how much "work" is required to secure a system.

Within **Tenable Vulnerability Management**, analysts can create a filter for plugin 66334 within the filters component on the **Findings** page as shown following (1). Once results have appeared, selecting an asset (2) by clicking on the asset name opens the details window at the bottom of the page. Selecting Plugin Output reveals the detailed Actions to undertake, including the Impact those actions have. The information can easily be exported to the clipboard by clicking the copy (3) icon. An additional filter can be added to change the State filter to "Fixed" to review patches that have previously been resolved.

$\equiv \bigcirc$ tenable	Vulnerability Management Explo	ore Overview > Findings						\$ 0	uick Actions	-) 🕫 🖓	ب الله الله
Findings 🗆								Includ	e Info Severity		All Time 🗸
Vulnerabilities Cl	oud Misconfigurations Host Audits	Web Application Findin	gs								
Advanced	Saved Filters ~ Search by Asset Name, I	P, IP Range, or a CIDR, * for	wildcard								
Plugin ID: is equal to 66334	4 × Reset				2						
Group By None	Asset Plugin				~ ~						
Filters		042 Vulnerabilities	🗘 Refresh			Fetched At: 02:41 PM	Grid: Basic View \checkmark	Columns 🗸	1 to 50 of 842 \sim	K C Page 1	of 17 > >
	Арріу	Asset Name	IPv4 Addr., Severity	Plugin N	ame	VPR CVSSv3	State	Scan Ori	Asset T	Last Seen	Actions
Select Filters	Reset	prod-	() Info	Patch R	eport		New	Tenable.io		10/31/2	1
✓ Plugin ID	V	chris.	() Info	Patch Re	eport		New	Tenable.io	Cody: S	03/20/2	
is equal to	~	audit		Patch B	eport		Active	Tenable io		04/22/2	
66334			0								· · ·
Patch Report							3 ~			s	iee All Details $ imes$
Asset Information		Vulnerability Inform	ation		Overview Plugin Out	put					
NAME		SEVERITY	i) Info								
IPV4 ADDRESS		PLUGIN ID	66334 🖸		Plugin Output						c)
OPERATING SYSTEM	Linux Kernel 4.18.0-425.10.1.el8_7.x86_64 on Red Hat Enterprise Linux release 8.7 (Ootpa)	PROTOCOL LIVE RESULT	TCP No		. You need to take t	the following 39 action	5 :				
SYSTEM TYPE	general-purpose				[Apache Log4j 2.0 •	< 2.3.2 / 2.4 < 2.12.4	/ 2.13 < 2.17.	1 RCE (156327)	1		
NETWORK	Default	Discovery			+ Action to take : 1	Jpgrade to Apache Log4i	version 2.17.	1. 2.12.4. or	2.3.2 or lat	er, or apply	the vendor m
DNS (FQDN)	and the second second	FIRST SEEN	10/31/2023 at 09:25 AM		itigation.						
Additional Information	n	LAST SEEN	10/31/2023 at 09:25 AM		Upgrading to the lat	test versions for Apach	e Log4j is hig	nly recommende	d as interme	diate versio	ns / patches
CLOUD MISCONFIGURATION	NS O	VULNERABILITY AGE	205 Days		and knowledge about ty.html for the late	the impact of Log4j is est versions.	discovered. Re	efer to https:	//logging.ap	ache.org/log	4j/2.x/securi
Asset Scan Informati	ion				+Tmpagt , Taking thi	action will recolve	different m	Inerabilities	(CUEE)		
FIRST SEEN	10/31/2023 at 09:25 AM				·impace . Taking th.	LD accion will resolve .	a uniterent vu.	LUCIADILICIES	(0455).		
LAST SEEN	10/31/2023 at 09:25 AM										
					r pupt 0 . hind / pu	28_2023+5474\ /102502\	1				

The steps are similar if using **Tenable Security Center**, however they vary slightly. From the **Analysis** tab, choose Vulnerabilities. Create a filter for plugin 66334. After the results are displayed choose to go to **Vulnerability Detail**.

=	Otenable Security Center	Vulnerabilit	ties					Vul	nerabilities v	Ø Search By CVE	0° (<u>.</u>
88	Vulnerability Summary > Vulnerab Vulnerability List Vulnerabilities Web App Scanning	ility List ~ Queries Events	: Mobile								Mitigated Cum	ulative
e.	Apply	1,892 Result(s) 🔹 👁 Go to Vu	Inerability Deta	ail (→ Export 🖺 Save : More					1 to 50 of 1,85	12 《 〈 Page 1 of 38	>
	+ Customize X Clear All	Port	Protocol	PluginUD	Plugin Name	Family	Severity	VPR	IP Address	NetBIOS	DNS	MAC
1		0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO					00:5
	Load Query 🗸	0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO					00:5
രി	~ Plugin ID ▽ 曲	0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO				and shared in some	
	= ~ 66334	0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO					00:5
2		0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO					00:5
		0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO					
		0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO					c8:9
		0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO				and the second	
		0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO					
		0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO					
		0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO					
		0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO					
		0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO					f0:1f
		0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO					00:2
		0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO					
		0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO					00:1
		0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO					
		0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO					00:1
		0	TCP	66334	Patch Report	General	INFO					

The **Vulnerability Detail** is shown with the detailed Actions to undertake, including the Impact those actions have. The information can easily be exported to the clipboard by clicking the copy icon. To select the next detail click next (1). An additional filter can be added to change the state to "Mitigated" and "Previously Mitigated" to review patches that have previously been resolved.



For more information related to Remediation Tracking refer to the NIS 2 Cyber Exposure Study section on IT Security Maintenance located <u>here</u>.

Asset Inventory and Discovery

The <u>Asset Inventory & Discovery (SEE) Tenable Vulnerability Management Dashboard</u> and the <u>Asset Inventory & Discovery (SEE) Tenable.sc Dashboard</u> displayed the following provides guidance to establish an asset discovery, including:

- · Actively and passively detected assets
- Asset discovery statistics
- Detected web applications
- Indications for device types (printers, cameras, routers, firewalls, WAPs)

In addition to the Articles previously listed, the following DORA Articles are related to Asset Inventory and Discovery efforts:

- Article 8.2, Financial entities shall, on a continuous basis, identify all sources of ICT risk
- Article 8.3, Financial entities shall identify all information assets and ICT assets, including those on remote sites, network resources and hardware equipment
- Article 16.1 (d), allow sources of ICT risk and anomalies in the network and information systems to be promptly identified and detected

In this section the following Tenable products will be highlighted:

- Tenable Security Center
- Tenable Vulnerability Management
- Tenable OT Security



set Inven	itory & Discovery (SEE)						₿ Refresh All	Switch Dashboard	▼ ♦ Options
Nonitoring – De	vice Type Indicators		Host Discovery - Discover	ery Statistics					
Came	re Embedded	Firewalls		Nessus Sca	ICMP (up)	ICMP (down	NNM Discov	FODN Disco	OS Discove
General Pu	Jrpose Hypervisor	Load Balancer	System Cou	3834	3476	0	2799	3549	5514
Mobil	e Packet Shaper	PBX	<30 Days	3	0	0	0	3	3
Printe	Print Server	Router	>30 Days	3831	3476	0	2799	3546	5511
SCAD	A Switch	VPN							
Webca	Wireless Access Point		Last Updated: 2 hours ago						
st Updated: 2 hours a	go		CIS - Passively Detected	Inventory Attributes					
VAS Detection				CDP		Client Detection		DHCP	
			•	lost Attribute		Host TTL		Hostname	
P Address	DNS			MAC Address		Model		OS Detection	
	1000 Contraction (1000)			OT Detection		Service Detection		Server Detection	
			SI	NMP Detection		Hardware Fingerprinting		Version Detection	
	services and states are ser-		Last Updated: 2 hours ago						
	and the second second		CIS - Actively Collected I	nventory Attributes					
	CONTRACTOR OF STREET, ST. ST. ST. ST. ST. ST.			intentory recirculates					
				BIOS Info		Hostname		Device Type	
	and the second second second		м	AC Add via SSH		Ethernet Card		Ethernet MAC Addresse	15
				FQDN		Windows Registry OS and CF	PU	Scan Info	
				NetBIOS		Ping		CPU Info (DMI)	
	and it was not entropy for		Sy	stem Info (DMI)		Computer System Product		Remote Host Info Disclos	ure
	and the second sec		Last Updated: 2 hours ago						
st Updated: Less the	a minute apo								
or opported Leas that	a contra alla								

For more information on Asset Discovery and Classification see the <u>Asset Inventory and Discovery</u> <u>Cyber Exposure Study</u>.

Tenable OT

Industrial controls are not the first things that come to mind when working with the financial industry. However, there are many IoT devices that may be present. IoT sensors and smart devices are known to be installed to monitor bank branches, ATMs, POS Terminals, and data centres, such as building automation and building management. IoT devices are being used to deliver real-time data on financial interactions between customers and banks to generate analytics. And with the advancements of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning, we can expect to see more of these devices being connected.

Identification of IoT assets is accomplished with Tenable OT Security. Native communication protocols are used to query both Information Technology (IT) and Operational Technology (OT) devices in your Industrial Control Systems (ICS) environment in order to identify all of the activities and actions occurring across your network. All the assets in the network appear on the Inventory page. The Inventory page includes details about the asset that enables comprehensive asset management as well as monitoring of the status of each asset and its related events. OT Security collects this data using the Network Detection and Active Query capabilities.

The All Assets page shows data for all types of assets. Subsets of assets are shown on separate screens for each of the following asset types: Controllers and Modules, Network Assets, and IoT.

■ ©tenable OT Security								12:02 PM • Tueso	lay, May 21, 2024	
🗸 📾 Dashboards	All A	Search	Q						Actions	
Risk										
Inventory		Name	Туре	Risk Score ↓	Criticality	IP	Category	Vendor	Family	Firm
Events and Policies		HR 4 - Comm. Adapter	Communicati	67	High	$(10.0712 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.010) < 0.1$	Controllers	Rockwell	ControlLogix	5.001
Events		Packaging 2 - Comm. Adapter	Communicati	67	High	Production (Internal)	Controllers	Rockwell	CompactLogix	2.005
Policies		Infusion Mold 3	PLC	66	High	Percentation and	Controllers	Rockwell	ControlLogix	31.01
ස් Inventory		WaterPump1	PLC	59	High	CONTRACTOR NO.	Controllers	Rockwell	CompactLogi	20.01
All Assets		Heat Rollers 4	PLC	48	Low	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Controllers	Rockwell	ControlLogix	30.01
Controllers and Modules		Packaging 2	PLC	47	Low	(10.010.0	Controllers	Rockwell	CompactLogi	20.01
Network Assets		PLC 1511C-1	PLC	45	High	Contracts (Read)	Controllers	Siemens	S7-1500	2.0.1
IoT		WIN-KL90A8CBOO8	Domain Cont	42	High	Participation of the second se	Network Assets	VMware		
★ Network Map		ZTCedge1 - HA Appliance	OT Server	41	Medium	CONTRACTOR.	Network Assets	Axiom Techn	Yokogawa	
Vulnerabilities		Medical Device #33	Medical Device	41	High	132211221(0ten)	lot	VMware		
Active Queries		BACO	Controller	41	High	(10.0710.08	Controllers	Servisys	BAC0 Scriptin	3.12.
Network		PLC #54	PLC	40	High	152 (M. 2012) (0152)	Controllers	Schneider	Modicon M221	1.5
Groups		col-lab-esx-001.corp.tenablesecurit	y.com PLC	39	High	PERMIT ADDRESS	Controllers	Dell		
¢° Local Settings		WaterPump1 - I/O #2	I/O Module	39	High	CONTRACTOR .	Controllers	Rockwell		1.001
		WaterPump1 - I/O #1	I/O Module	39	High	reament	Controllers	Rockwell		3.001
		DESKTOP-0SCETH9	Communicati	39	High	1002030-0000	Controllers	VMware		
		WIN-P3FNGET61DF	Security Appli	39	Medium	1500000000000000	Network Assets	VMware		
		ML1400	PLC	39	High	102104-00210-09102	Controllers	Rockwell	MicroLogix 1	21.00
Version 3.18.51 Expires Sep 17, 2024 Assets Limit 41%	Items: 8	44			-				0	

The Vulnerability Handling widget for Tenable OT, located on the compliance dashboard assists in the process of identifying, assessing, reporting, and remediating vulnerabilities. Using this widget, analysts can focus first on assets that have the potential to impact on business operations.

Mean time to Respond (MTTR) is a critical key performance indicator (KPI). A shorter MTTR indicates a more efficient incident resolution process. Minimising downtime and disruptions is crucial for maintaining productivity and service availability. From a Vulnerability Management perspective, OT security personnel can utilise the MTTR for each vulnerability severity within scope, track improvements, and measure SLAs and progress over time. Key items displayed are severity results, high risk assets and MTTR/SLA.

■ ©tenable OT Security	l		× •	S 11:49 AM ・ Friday, Sep 6, 2024 ⑦ ≛ Mr. Admin							
✓ ▲ Dashboards	Compliance										
Risk	Security Framework Preferences										
Inventory	Capacal										
Events and Policies	General										
Compliance	TOTAL ASSETS IN SCOPE 548										
Executive Report	FRAMEWORKS IN SCOPE ISO 27001	Controls, NIS2 Directive (Article 21)									
> 🜲 Events	1										
Policies	Incident Handling 🛈										
✓ ♣ Inventory	Applies to:										
All Assets	ISO 27001 Controls: 5.7, 5.25, 5.28, 6.8, 8.7, 8	.15, 8.16 ①									
Controllers and Modules	NIS2 Directive (Article 21) measures: b, f, g	IS2 Directive (Article 21) measures: b, f, g 🛈									
Network Assets	Abnormal unresolved events by asset cr	iticality									
IoT											
★ Network Map	Event Category	Asset Criticality: High	Asset Criticality: Medium	Asset Criticality: Low							
> 🚊 Vulnerabilities	Network Events	72	15	6							
> Ø Active Queries	Network Threats	89	44	20							
> 🚆 Network	Show Asset List										
> 🕸 Groups	Event Mean Time to Response (MTTR) - I	_ast 30 Days 🕕									
✓ ¢ ^o Local Settings	Event Category	Assot Criticality: High	Asset Criticality Medium	Asset Criticality: Low							
Sensors	Livent category	Asset Criticality, Algit	Asset entreancy. Medium	Asset critically, Low							
 System Configuration 	Network Events	3	1	2							
Enterprise Manager	Network Threats	6	8	0							
Enterprise Manager											

For more information on using Tenable OT Security, reference the documentation for your organisation's version here: <u>Getting Started with Tenable OT Security</u>.

Identity Management and Access Control

Identity and access control are fundamental concepts within information security and system management. Identity refers to the digital representation of a person, device, or entity accessing a system or network. Examples include usernames, email addresses, and digital certificates. Access control is the process of regulating and restricting access to resources or services based on the

identity of users or devices. Access control ensures that only authorised users, processes, or systems can access certain resources or perform specific tasks.

Concepts within identity and access control include identity management which is the process and technologies used to create, manage, and authenticate identities throughout the identity lifecycle. Access control typically includes mechanisms such as authentication, authorization, and auditing. These mechanisms verify the identity of users, determine what resources are available to authorised users, and monitor access for security and compliance purposes. Identity and access control work together to ensure that the correct individual or systems have the appropriate access to resources, while safeguarding against unauthorised access and potential security breaches. These concepts are crucial for maintaining the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information within the organisation's network.

In addition to the Articles previously listed, the following DORA Articles are related to Identity Management and Access Control efforts:

- Article 9.4(c), Establish to that end a set of policies, procedures and controls that address access rights and ensure a sound administration thereof
- Article 9.4(d), Implement policies and protocols for strong authentication mechanisms, based on relevant standards and dedicated control systems, and protection measures of cryptographic keys whereby data is encrypted based on results of approved data classification and ICT risk assessment processes
- Article 10.3, Financial entities shall devote sufficient resources and capabilities to monitor user activity

In this section the following Tenable products will be highlighted:

- Tenable Identity Exposure
- Tenable Security Center
- Tenable Vulnerability Management
- Tenable Cloud Security

Tenable Identity Exposure provides various methods to access the information collected through the Indicators of Exposure (IoE) and Indicators of Attack (IoA) panes. Tenable Vulnerability Management provides the ability to use the Explore Findings through the use of dashboards and reports.

To begin taking control of the organisation's Identity Management, every account within the environment must be enumerated. The level of access for each account must also be determined. All accounts must be uniquely identified and assigned to particular entities, such as users and applications.

= Otenable Dashboards	> Selected Dashboard				© Quick Actions $6္$
Getting Started with AD Se	ecurity (Explore)		Jump to Dashboar	rd 🗸 🔛 Dashboards 🛛 🖾 Share	$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$
Active Directory Vulnerabilities (Kerbero	s, Trusts Relationships, Null Session	ns) 🛈 🛛 🚦	Windows User Account Information	0	:
Null Sessions	Kerberos Krbtgt	Dangerous Trust Relationship	PLUGIN ID SELE	CTED PLUGIN N COUNT	FIRST VALUE OF SEVE
			71246 Enu	merate Local G 21	🕡 Info
			72684 Enu	merate Users vi 19	Info
			10860 SME	3 Use Host SID t 1	i Info
Windows Group Memberships ①	÷	Microsoft Active Directory Findings	ū :	Windows Account Information ①	:
		PLUGIN ID SELECTED	COUNT FIRST VAL		- Microsoft Windows SMB
Microsoft Win —	Microsoft Win Microsoft Wind	No data w	as found	Microsoft Win	SMB Use Host

The <u>Getting Started with AD Security</u> dashboard in Tenable Vulnerability Management contains widgets to enumerate user accounts.

The Cyber Security Framework (CSF), developed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, and the CIS Critical Security Controls, developed by the Center for Internet Security, are both globally applied standards. Therefore, organisations can also reference widgets such as the CSF - Account and Group Information widget located in the CIS Control 4/5: Secure Configurations & Group Memberships dashboard in Tenable Security Center, which leverages plugins that enumerate Windows account information.

NS Con	trol 4/5: Se	ecure Co	onfigura	ations	& Group) Membe	rships								Switch D	ashboard *	Options
ccount Statu	is Indicators - Wind	ows SMB Acc	ount		CSC - Co	mpliance Checl	is							Account Status Inc	licators - Users	and SID Inform	ation
nformation							Systems	Sc	cans (Last 7 Days)	Passed		Manual	Failed	Use Host SID to Enu	merate Local U	Local User	Information
se Domain SI	D to Enumerate User	Guessable	User Credent	tials	All (CIS CSC	44		•	38%			57%	Automatically disat	oled accounts	Cant chanç	je password
e Host SID te	o Enumerate Local U	Registry Winlog	on Cached F	Passwor	All	Checks	67		0	36%			57%	Disabled ac	counts	Never chang	ad passwords
gistry Last L	ogged User Name Di	Obtains the	Password Po	olicy										User has never	logged on	Passwords	never expire
Blank Admin	istrator Password	Guest Account	t Local User /	Access	Last Updated	: Less than a minute	ago							Guest Account Loca	al User Access	Use Host SID to I	Enumerate Loca
Last Logged (On User Disclosure	Registry Enume	erate the list o	of SNMF	CSE - Co	mpliance Check	s By Keyword							Enumerate Local Gr	oup Membershi	Enumerate	Local Users
se Host SID te	o Enumerate Local U				001 00	inpitation official	5 by Royword							Last Undated: Less than	a minute ann		
it Updated: Less	than a minute ago						Systems	So	cans (Last 7 Days)	Passed		Manual	Failed		a minato ago		
						All	67		•	36%			57%	Account Status Inc	licators - Group	Memberships	
count Statu	is Indicators - Loca	Users Informa	ation		A	count	43		•	41%			57%	Lines Allers		11 0-	august lat
utomatically	Disabled Accounts	Can't Cha	ange Passwo	rd		Audit	39		•	15%			69%	Oser Allase	is List	User Gr	Jups List
Disable	ad Accounts	Never Cha	nged Passwr	brd	D	isable	38		•	40%			59%	Account Operators C	aroup User List	Administrators	Group User Li
User has N	Vever Logged in	Password	s Never Expir	185	E	nable	40		•	51%			48%	Server Operators G	roup User List	Backup Operato	s Group User
						Log	42		•	29%			68%	Print Operators Gr	oup User List	Heplicator G	oup User List
t Updated: Less	than a minute ago				Pa	ssword	37		•	20%			78%	Guest Account Belo	ngs to a Group	Domain Administ	ators Group U
25		- 11			Per	mission	35		•	49%			50 <mark>%</mark>	Last Updated: Less than	a minute ago		
5F - Accoun	t and Group Inform	ation				User	45		•	38%			59%	CIR Configuration	Info Collected	during Activo	A
Plugin ID	Name	Family	Seve	т	Last Updated	: Less than a minute	ago							Scanning.	i into Collected	during Active	¥*
17651 🛈	Microsoft Windows SMB :	Window	Info	15	Prioritize	Hosts - Top Hos	ts with Complia	ance Conce	erns					Name			Host Tot
38689 0	Microsoft	Windows	Info	14	IP Addre	iss D!	IS			Total Vulnerabi	lities			Host Fully Qualifie Resolution	d Domain Name	(FQDN)	15
	Windows SMB		_		1000	ub	untu1904-deskto	p.target.ten	ablesecurity.com	283		258	25	Common Blotform	Enumeration (C	DE)	10
10902 🛈	Microsoft Windows	Window	Info	14	10.00	de	bian9.target.tena	blesecurity.	com	282		257	25	Common Platform	Enumeration (C		
71246 🛈	Enumerate Local	Windows	Info_	13	1000	ub	untu1810-deskto	p.target.ten	ablesecurity.com	277		251	26	Device Type			15
	Group					ub	untu1904server.t	arget.tenabl	lesecurity.com	276		251	25	SSH Algorithms of	nd Languages S	upported	40
72684 📵	Enumerate Users via WMI	Windows	Info	11		ub	untu1810-server.	target.tenak	plesecurity.com	274		249	25	corrigonums a	unguuges o		10
					Last Updated	Less than a minute	800							SSH Server Type	and Version Info	mation	12

Operating Systems and applications are often distributed with service and default accounts that are either not password-protected or have a default password that is well known. Tenable Nessus and Tenable Identity Exposure help identify these accounts, enabling organisations to review and disable any unnecessary accounts to reduce the attack surface. Organisations can leverage the following Nessus plugins to enumerate service and default accounts:

- Plugin Family: Default Unix Accounts This plugin family contains over 170 Nessus plugins that check for the existence of default accounts/passwords on a number of devices. In addition, there are many plugins that check for simple passwords such as "0000", "1234", and more commonly identified password combinations for "root" or administrator accounts.
- 171959 Windows Enumerate Accounts This plugin enumerates all Windows Accounts

Several hundred plugins can be identified by searching for "Default Account" from the **Nessus Plugins Search** page using the <u>Enable Default Logins</u> filter. Nessus default account plugins are available for Databases, Web Servers, SCADA devices, Unix/Linux devices, Cisco devices and more. Many of the plugins are associated with the Default Unix Account Nessus family, however, many are in other families as well.

		ч 	^				
) tenable	Plugins						Settings •
Plugins Pipeline	Plugins / S	earch					
Newest		0					
Updated	Plugir	ns Search					
Search	Start ty	ping or add a filter			Filte	ers (1) 🔻	Relevance 🗢
Nessus Families							
WAS Families	Plugin	Iame (Active) - (S) Clear All					
NNM Families	Search	by Plugin Name	Page 1 of	15 - 726 Total			Novtw
LCE Families	User	Enumeration	i age i oi	15 * 720 Total			Next ···
Tenable OT Security	D	Name	Product	Family	Published	Updated	Severity
Families	45478	LDAP User Enumeration	Nessus	Misc.	4/9/2010	4/25/2023	INFO
Nessus Release Notes	90067	WordPress User Enumeration	Nessus	CGI abuses	3/21/2016	4/11/2022	MEDIUM
Audits	29187	Plumtree Portal User Object User Enumeration	Nessus	CGI abuses	12/4/2007	4/11/2022	MEDIUM
Tenable Cloud Security	50359	Liferay Portal 610 Lloor Enumeration	Nossus	CGI abuses	6//./2012	/./11/2022	мерши

ł

In addition, Tenable Identity Exposure provides the ability to determine if a default administrator account was recently used in the environment, as shown in the image below:

=	🔿 tenable	ad Active Directory		(j
	Indicators of Exp	osure Indicator details X		
	Q default	Name Se Recent Use of the Default Administrator Account •	everity Medium	Status Not compliant
	 Critical No indica 	Information Vulnerability details Deviant objects Recommendations		
_	• High	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	IMPACTED DOMAINS	
~	No indica Medium	Built-in administrative accounts should almost never be used (except in very specific cases that rarel happen).	ly	
%	Recen Built-ir	DOCUMENTS	-	
		Appendix D: Securing Built-In Administrator Accounts in Active Directory		
	▲ 4 do	ATTACKER KNOWN TOOLS		
Q	 Low No indica 	No tools listed for this indicator		

Tenable Identity Exposure is also able to determine if items such as MFA are being used. In this example, a privileged account with a Global Administrators role does not have a registered MFA method. The user account and detailed information on the vulnerability are present to assist organisations mitigate the identified concerns.

Missing MFA for Privileged Account	Туре	Object	Provider	Tenant	Description		Date (HH:MM:SS, YYYY-	MM-DD)
	ACCOUNT	Scott	Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Securit	y Customer 2 Scott 🚃 📰	(object ID=	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	```
1FA provides strong protection for accounts agains r breached passwords. Security best practices and tandards recommend that you enable MFA, especia	Scot ID=62	e ^c	f-9e72-1ee1a does not bene	9e2ad87) does not show any regis fit from MFA protection.	stered MFA method, which m	eans that this privileged account with the Global Administ	rator role (role	٥
ith privileged accounts. Accounts without an MFA	ACCOUNT	Super Admin	Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Securit	v Customer 2 Super Admin	(object ID= 841) = f3e1)	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	1
nethod registered cannot benefit from it	ACCOUNT	Super Admin	Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Securit	y Customer 2 Super Admin	(object ID= b1bt 5228)	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	
	ACCOUNT	Alex	Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Securit	y Customer 2 Alex Feigens	son (object ID= c f72fe	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	2
Tenable Cloud Security Cu Complex		On-Premises Directory	y Synchron 🚸 Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Securit	y Customer 2 On-Premises	Directory Synchronization Service Account (objec.	. 16:33:40, 2024-04-23	;
	Туре	Object	Provider	Tenant	Description		Date (HH:MM:SS, YYYY-I	MM-DD)
issing MFA for Non-Privileged Account	ACCOUNT	Kristi	Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Security Customer	2 Krist	= 29c0b962-dfcd	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	,
FA provides strong protection for accounts agains	weak ACCOUNT	Danic	Alicrosoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Security Customer	2 Danic ID	a441755d-8723-4	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	
breached passwords. Security best practices and	ACCOUNT	Melba	Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Security Customer	2 Melba - D-	e817ed39-4f2d-49	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	
andards recommend that you enable MFA, even fo	r non- ACCOUNT	Miles	Microsoft Entra D	Tenable Cloud Security Customer	2 Miles	D= 734b9dc1-4e56	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	
ivileged accounts. Accounts without an MFA meth	od ACCOUNT	Maria	Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Security Customer	2 Maria ect	D= 0ff7f8ce-ae78	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	
distered cannot benefit from it	ACCOUNT	Arthu .	Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Security Customer	2 Arthu = :tIC	a9a4c3dc-d80f-	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	
gistered tarifiet sentene non ne	ACCOUNT	Eileer	Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Security Customer	2 Eilee til	= d1a32d57-6b0e-	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	
Tanable Cloud Security Cu	ACCOUNT	Corrir	Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Security Customer	2 Corri bje	ct ID= 01e2143aa4	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	
Fenable cloud security cu Complex	ACCOUNT	Skyle	Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Security Customer	2 Skyle yay	(object ID= da5585	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	
	ACCOUNT	Milto	Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Security Customer	2 Milto ect	D= 450f7145-54a5	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	
	ACCOUNT	Elton	Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Security Customer	2 Eltor D=	03124597-1e7a-4c	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	
TRE ATT&CK®] T1098 (Account Manipulation)	ACCOUNT	Kenn	Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Security Customer	2 Kenne jec	ID= 8f2995b7-261	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	
ITRE ATT&CK®] T1110 (Brute Force)	ACCOUNT	Hugo	Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Security Customer	2 Hugo :t ID	= 31a3cf49-bcd0-	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	
ITRE ATT&CK®] T1556.006 (Modify Authentication Process: Multi-Factor Authenti	ation) ACCOUNT	Nikol	Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Security Customer	2 Nikol (ot	oject ID= 02d1204f	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	
ITRE ATT&CK®] T1078.004 (Valid Accounts: Cloud Accounts)	ACCOUNT	Nath	Microsoft Entra ID	Tenable Cloud Security Customer	2 Natha jobi	ect ID= 6f4a6e49-8	16:33:40, 2024-04-23	

Ø

Depending on the threat level of the misconfiguration, the Indicator of Exposure (IOE) will rise in a different category: Critical - High - Medium - Low. This provides the context required to minimise distractions. Organisations are able to effectively investigate incidents, hunt for threats, and manage and prioritise security challenges that pose the greatest threats.

(tenable Identity E	Exposure					[■] () 袋 ^{●●●}	Ĵ III (
In	ndicators of Exposure							
	Q Search for an indicator						Show all indicators Yes	4/4 domains
	Critical							
	Unsecured Configuration	of Netlogon Protocol	Mapped Certificates on A	counts	Domain Controllers Manage	ed by Illegitimate Users		
	CVE-2020-1472 ("Zerologon and allows elevation of priv	") affects Netlogon protocol rilege	Ensures that privileged obj certificate assigned to then	ects do not have any mapped n.	Some domain controllers can administrative users due to c	n be managed by non- dangerous access rights.		
	▲ 4 domains	Complexity 🔊	▲ demo	Complexity 🕥	▲ 3 domains	Complexity		
	Verify Sensitive GPO Obje	ects and Files Permissions	User Primary Group		WSUS Dangerous Misconfi	gurations		
	Ensures that the permission files linked to sensitive cont controllers or OU, are appro	ns assigned to GPO objects and tainers, such as the domain opriate and secure.	Verify users' Primary Group	has not been changed	Lists the misconfigured para Server Update Services (WSI	meters related to Windows US).		
	▲ 3 domains	Complexity 🍙	▲ No domain	Complexity 🍙	▲ No domain	Complexity 🍙		
	ADCS Dangerous Misconf	igurations	Verify Permissions Relate	d to Microsoft Entra Connect	Application of Weak Passw	vord Policies on Users		
	List dangerous permissions related to the Windows Pul	and misconfigured parameters blic Key Infrastructure (PKI).	Accounts Ensure the permissions set accounts are sane	on Microsoft Entra Connect	Some password policies appl are not strong enough and c	lied on specific user accounts an lead to credentials theft.		
	▲ demo	Complexity 🍙	▲ 2 domains	Complexity 🍙	▲ 4 domains	Complexity 🍙		
	Root Objects Permissions	Allowing DCSync-Like	Dangerous Kerberos Dele	gation	Ensure SDProp Consistency	Y		
	Checks for unsafe permissio enable unauthorized users to credentials	ons on root objects that may to steal authentication	Checks for unauthorized Ke protection for privileged us	rberos delegation, and ensures ers against it.	Control that the adminSDHo	lder object is in a clean state.		

For more information on Tenable Identity Exposure review the documentation located here.

For more detailed information review the Identity and Access Management Cyber Exposure guide.

O

Additionally, the Identity and <u>Access Control section of the NIS 2 Directive Cyber Exposure Study</u> can be referenced.

Cloud Provider Misconfigurations

Several hundred plugins can be identified by searching for "Default Account" from the **Nessus Plugins Search** page using the <u>Enable Default Logins</u> filter. Nessus default account plugins are available for Databases, Web Servers, SCADA devices, Unix/Linux devices, Cisco devices and more. Many of the plugins are associated with the Default Unix Account Nessus family, however, many are in other families as well.

Ctenable Cloud Security MISCONFIGURATIONS REPORT

IAM

Policies that detect issues related to identity and access management, such as inactive or overprivileged IAM identities.

Platform	Policy	Compliances	Assessed	Passed	Failed
aws	AWS account support role is not set	(Cis	2 Accounts	0	2
aws	IAM access analyzer is not enabled for all regions		2 Accounts	0	2
aws	IAM server certificate is expired		0 IAM Server Certificates	0	-
aws	IAM user access keys are not rotated	80 6% 80 80 1 NET NET NET 1 NET NET NET	1 IAM User	0	1
aws	IAM user has multiple active access keys	(es)	24 IAM Users	22	2
aws	IAM user has policies attached	(a)	24 IAM Users	19	5
aws	IAM user MFA is not enabled	Image: Second	15 IAM Users	0	14 1
aws	IAM user unused access keys	80 (10) (10)	22 IAM Users	0	19 3

Details within each policy violation contain an overview, policy violation details, and policy remediation strategies, as well as defining any impacted resources. Policies are used to identify misconfigurations and vulnerabilities present on cloud resources. Tenable Cloud Security has built-in policies for cloud and IaC resources that define the compliance standards for your cloud and IaC infrastructure. Related policies are combined within a policy group. A policy can support multiple benchmarks. Therefore, a policy group includes all the benchmarks supported by the policies in the group.

Ctenable Cloud Security Q Search users, roles & resources	All accounts ~ JC
■ Open Findings × ↔	
Dashboard Accounts × Category × Severity × Policy is IAM user access Access key of the user adeigenson-cli was not rotated for more than 90 days	Access Keys Admin 🖧
Inventory Inventory	ce)
Image: Transmission of the second	
Activity Log	duce the risk if a user is compromised. Tenable recommends a timeframe of at
👼 IAM 🕴 🕅 Remediation Note: You can 🖉 change the default evaluation period for this policy to comply with internal standard	rds.
C Workload Activity Context	
Kubernetes • User <u>-⊆l</u> was created on 01/25/2024 by afeigenson@ermetic.com	
I ac • There is a risk that the access key was compromised line. It was no • The access key would use an anti-would use an access key access and the access key access and the access key access and the access key access acce	t rotated for more than 90 days
Policies • The user has a total of <u>3 other findings, 1 with high severity</u>	
Compliance Compliance	
In Reports Access Keys	
Access Key Enabled	
문 Accounts Created: Jan 25, 2024 ④ Last Used: Apr 29, 2024	
Image: Book of the second s	

A full list of Tenable Cloud Security policies is available online here.

Additionally, Tenable Cloud Security automates threat detection and remediation to eliminate noise enabling your team to focus on what matters most. In-depth investigation, monitoring, and reporting on suspicious or unusual activity across AWS, Azure, and GCP is simplified by creating a behavioural baseline for each identity. By continuously assessing and prioritising risk across human and service identities, network configuration, data, and compute resources Tenable Cloud Security proactively reduces the attack surface and blast radius in case of a breach.

The organisation's entire multi-cloud environment is continuously analysed, evaluating risk factors including effective exposure, misconfigurations, excessive and risky privileges, leaked secrets and vulnerabilities. Unusual data access, privilege escalation and other identity-related threats, as well as changes in login settings, unusual reconnaissance, and unauthorised use or theft of access keys, can all be detected. Tenable analyses cloud provider logs to reveal the identity behind each activity and affected accounts, resources, and services.

el lable cloud Secur	rity		Q Sea	rch users, roles & resources				All accounts 🗸
Dashboard	0 Organizations	1 Organization	1 Organization 2 Projects	1 On-Premises Account	6,477 IAM Resources	1,274 Kubernetes Resources	194 Network Resources	104 Compute Resource
Findings Activity Log	2 Accounts ⊘ 2	2 3005chptions	2 Projects ✓ 2	⊘ 1	46 Container Resources	33 Data Resources	30 Management Resources	8 Security Resources
AM	Open Findings 🛈			Severity is Critical or High ~	Trends 🛈			Last 30 da
workload Kubernetes	24 Critical	150 High 38%			()		3	Θ
aC	Categories (i)				40	Closed	14	0 Ignored findings
Policies		Data 7			13 have high/critical seve 6 due to new features (rity D	in tange	-9
Compliance Reports	Secrets 29	Custom Policies			A			
	Workload Protection	Kubernetes						

More information on getting started with Tenable Cloud Security is available here.

Additional Resources: Exposure Management

Exposure Management is the process of identifying, assessing, and mitigating risks and vulnerabilities within an organisation's environment to protect against threats. By adopting exposure management, organisations stay ahead of evolving threats and maintain operational resilience. This is critical in environments where there is a mix of on-premises, cloud, and IoT systems.

In this section the following Tenable products will be highlighted:

- Tenable One
- IoT and Tenable One
- Tenable Vulnerability Management
- Tenable Security Center

Tenable One

Tenable One is an exposure management platform, designed to allow customers to gain visibility across the entire modern attack surface. Tenable One focuses efforts to prevent likely attacks, and accurately communicate cyber risk to optimise business performance.

Tenable One Asset Inventory provides a comprehensive view of all assets across the entire attach surface. Sensors pull data from multiple applications across the platform, providing details on all known systems. At the highest level on the Asset Inventory page is shown the Number of Assets identified, New Assets identified in the last 7 days, and assets that have been updated in the last 7 days. Buttons allow you to select any combination of assets (Vulnerability Management, Identity Exposure, Web Application Security, Cloud Security, OT Security).

Displayed in the main body of the page is the Asset, the Asset Exposure Score, Class of device, Weakness, Tags, Last Update Date, Source, and Details. Selecting the Asset drop-down also allows all assets to be displayed by Tag or by Weakness. Weakness is a Common Vulnerability and Exposure (CVE), which is a reference method for publicly known vulnerabilities, maintained by the MITRE Corporation, and funded by the US National Cyber Security Division and the US Department of Homeland Security. Assets can be grouped together, or displayed separately within Vulnerability Management, Identity Exposure, Web Application Security, Cloud Security, and OT Security, by selecting (or deselecting the corresponding icon).

() tenabl	leone Inventory							P 🖑 🌣 斗 I
ntory								
		-						
<i>ا</i> ب	Assets 🗸 🗡	Vulnerability Management 100%	Identity Exposure	Web Application Security 0% Cloud Security	T Security		3.4k 4	972
	Assets	ch by typing a valid query					Query 🗸 🔎	
\bigcirc	Tags							
0	Weaknesses	AES 💌	Class	Weaknesses	Number of tags	Last Updated	Sources	
	svr-sharepoint	751	6 Device	317	5	June 3, 2024	0	See Details >
	qa-webapp	700	යි Device	693	5	June 3, 2024	0	See Details >
	tenable-p9rf34bz.dc.demo.io	700	ଲି Device	1,124	6	June 1, 2024	0	See Details >
	prod-ssh-command.labnet.local	696	හි Device	1,104	5	June 3, 2024	0	See Details >
	rhel8.dc.demo.io	684	63 Device	338	6	June 3, 2024	0	See Details >
	win-6bgfs9nlvk6	673	63 Device	35	5	May 18, 2024	U	See Details >
	dvwa-ubuntu.labnet.local	673	S Device	60	5	June 3, 2024	0	See Details >
	debian9-demo.labnet.local	654	63 Device	210	5	May 25, 2024	0	See Details >
	kms.labnet.local	635	ඩි Device	66	5	May 25, 2024	0	See Details >
	water-plant-01	632	읈 Device	3,285	5	June 3, 2024	0	See Details >
	dev-sc-team-expansion-child-1	631	ඩි Device	2,393	5	June 2, 2024	0	See Details >
	al-win10-rg1	631	읈 Device	1,598	5	June 3, 2024	0	See Details >
	al-win10-tp	631	S Device	1,598	5	June 3, 2024	0	See Details >
			0.000				đ	Per Patelle X

Drilling down into the Asset details provides a wealth of information, including insights into the assets properties, Attack Paths, Weaknesses, Exposure Cards, Relationships, and Accounts. For more information on Tenable One features and benefits, go <u>here</u>.

tenable one Inventory			P 🚱 🎄 🔶
ry			
< Back to Asset Inventory			
	Hav 13.2024 🥐 Hide Summary 🔿 🗈		
 This asset may have changed since the summary 	has been generated		Gen Al
About this ease: The asset 'sq2019' is a virtual machine with a hit a domain controller and DHS server in the networ highly susceptible to cyber threats, immediate a data and overall security posture.	In esset critically score of 0 and a relatively high asset organize score of 96/2. It plays a cruc rk. However, it is concerning that this asset has 77 critical and 399 high-risk vulnerabilities, tention and remediation are required to mitigate these risks and protect the organization's	Weatnesser This server as the sequence to serveral critical vulnerabilities, including OVE-2021-5681, OVE-2021- ading it Cole as This server as the sequence of the Cole 2022-20100, These vulnerabilities and unauthorized access, pointing significant risks to the organization's data and systems. Privalege these vulnerabilities and minimize the attack surface. Data Brasch and Tampering Privalege Escatation Brasch and Tampering Privalege Escatation	AGMA, DVE-2019-1405, DVE-2021-1875, DVE-2020-087A, allow for remote code execution, elevation of privileges, smpt patching and security measures are essential to excess and Control
Asset Exposure Score 947/1000	Asset Criticality Rating Weakness Q 4,10	Identified Key Properties Wey Properties Profile Drivers Device Profile Drivers NESSUS: 30634, NESSUS: 30684, I Last Observed At Jun 4, 2024 at 11:65 am	NESS
	Properties Liveboard Attack Paths	Weaknesses Tags Exposure Cards Relationships Accounts	
Q Search			Search
⊕ Key Properties (5)			

For more information on Tenable One, click here.

IoT and Tenable One

Tenable OT Security maps out assets as well as communication paths. A complete visibility of assets across the environment (IT and OT) is available. Tenable OT Security uses active sensors that can be deployed deep within network segments, to sniff packets and identify the devices communicating on the wire. Once there is an inventory of the assets on the network, Tenable OT Security sends active queries in a safe and secure manner to discover the remaining dormant devices. This discovery process is called hybrid discovery and Tenable is the first to use this methodology for effective asset inventory and mapping.

Information Technology (IT) primarily deals with data processing and communications. Operational Technology (OT) generally refers to the hardware and software that is used to monitor and control devices and processes within industry, manufacturing, energy, transportation, and utility environments. OT can also include industrial control systems (ICS), supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) systems, programmable logic controllers (PLC), and other devices used to monitor and control industrial processes.

As technology advances and IT-OT systems converge, new challenges are created and these systems become more vulnerable to cyber threats. Safety and security become increasingly

important. Security teams can now get visibility into device make and model, as well as firmware version and status.

0 -

			Se	nsor updates are available <u>Vie</u> v	w Sensors							×
= ©tenable OT Security								(10:36 AM •	Friday, May 2	24, 2024 🛛 8 Joe 🛩
 Dashboards Risk Inventory Events and Policies 	Vate PLC	erPump1	Vendor Rockwell	Model 1769-L24ER-QB1B/A LOGIX5324ER	Last Seen May 24, 2024 10	0:34:28 AM	State Fa Fault Co	mily mpactLogix	Fir 5370 20	× 59 rmware 1.012	Actions 🗸	Resync 🗡
Events Policies	Details	Overview				Backplane	View					
v 👶 Inventory	Code Revision	NAME		WaterPump1		Backplare	view +2					
All Assets Controllers and Modules	Attack Vectors	DESCRIPTION		Rockwell Automation 1769-L24ER-C	QB1B	О	1	2	3	4 5	67	8
Network Assets	Open Ports Vulnerabilities	STATE		Fault			⊕ #	#2				
>> Network Map	Events	EXTENDED STATE		MajorFault 05:48:38 PM · May 23, 2024		< ¹	np1 - 1/0	np1 - 1/0				>
 Vulnerabilities Active Queries 	Network Map	DIRECT IP		VIDIN		NaterPur	NaterPur	NaterPur				
> 🖏 Network		DIRECT MAC		CompactLogix 5370		PLC Det	ails					
> 鄻 Groups > 🍄 Local Settings		VENDOR		Rockwell		NAME	0.05		Wa	iterPump1		
		LAST SEEN		1769-L24ER-QB1B/A LOGIX5324ER		TYPE	ORE		PLO	9 C		
		FIRST SEEN		03:22:58 PM · Oct 29, 2021		DESCRI	PTION		Ro	ckwell Automat	ion 1769-L24E	R-QB1B
Version 3.18.51 Expires Sep 17, 2024 Assers Limit 41%		LAST UPDATE		U5:48:38 PM · May 23, 2024		VENDO	R		176 Ro	b9-L24ER-QB1E	VA LOGIX5324	:K

Connections can also be mapped to other devices on the network.



Utilising Tenable One, OT Assets can be displayed by selecting the OT Security icon.

() tenab	bleone Inventory							ㅁ 🐣 🌐 🗘 🗉
tory								
	Assets ~	Vulnerability Management 12%	Identity Exposure 0%	Web Application Security	urity SC OT Securi 100%	N N	UMBER OF Assets In Last	7 Days Updated Assets in last 7 days 33
=	FIND > (1) Assets Search	by typing a valid query					Query ~	▷ ∇ ² ★ □
	Name	AES 🔻	Class	Weaknesses	Number of tag	js Last Updated	Sources	
	rouge	738	B Device		0 3	June 5, 2024	æ.	See Details
	devicenet_181	723	5 🗟 Device		0 3	June 5, 2024	Z	See Details >
	infusion_mold_3	695	5 🖓 Device		0 3	June 5, 2024	Æ	See Details >
	packaging_2	694	Device		0 3	June 5, 2024	Z	See Details >
	comm. adapter #1	685	a 🖧 Device		0 3	June 5, 2024	逐	See Details >
	perseverance	689	B Device		0 3	June 5, 2024	Z.	See Details >
	comm. adapter #3	681	Device		0 3	June 5, 2024	Z	See Details >
	eng control station 01	666	සි Device		0 3	February 27, 2024	Z	See Details >
	win-ueupt5dga0h	664	S Device		0 3	February 27, 2024	Z	See Details
	heat_rollers_4	661	Device		0 3	June 5, 2024	Z,	See Details >
	waterpump1	605	මා Device		0 3	June 5, 2024	R.	See Details >
	naoh_pump	605	5 🔂 Device		0 3	June 5, 2024	Z	See Details >
	comm. adapter #65	596	B Device		0 3	June 5, 2024	Z	See Details >
	comm. adapter #41	597	න Device		0 3	June 5, 2024	Zc.	See Details >

Clicking on the See Details link to the right of the page presents additional information on the asset, such as properties, Attack Paths, Weaknesses, Exposure Cards and more.

Ctenableone Invent	угу	고 🕹 🌣 🗖 🖩	
tory	C tenable cone Inventory		p 🔗 🌣 A I
	Materpumpi © ECVEC 1 100/RE 0 No aurmany provented yet:		
Asset Exposur 605/1(Asset Department Score Asset Criticality Nating Asset Criticality Nation Asset Criticality Na		
Q Search	Properties Liveboard AttackPaths Weaknesses Togs ErposureEards Relationships Accounts		Search
Key Properties Asset Class Host System Type	Overall & Exposure Management Cards		
Asset Informat ACR Algorithm Class	Leponzes SLA A SILEO 7835 Convers SLA		
Asset Name Associated Tags Count	Custom Cards		
Device Name	Openential Assets Deno States Deno	5005 505 Tags SLA 3 85.9%	

Digital Operational Resilience Testing

Digital Operational Resilience Testing refers to the practices and procedures implemented to ensure that systems and infrastructure can withstand, recover, and adapt to disruptions, cyber attacks, and other challenges. In addition to the Articles previously listed, the following DORA Articles are related to Digital Operational Resilience Testing efforts:

CHAPTER IV, Digital operational resilience testing

- The digital operational resilience testing programme shall include a range of assessments, tests, methodologies, practices and tools to be applied in accordance with Articles 25 and 26:
 - Article 24.3, Financial entities, other than microenterprises, shall follow a risk-based approach.
 - Article 25.1, the execution of appropriate tests, such as vulnerability assessments and scans, open source analyses, network security assessments, gap analyses, physical security reviews, questionnaires and scanning software solutions, source code reviews

where feasible, scenario-based tests, compatibility testing, performance testing, end-toend testing and penetration testing.

 Article 26.2, Financial entities shall identify all relevant underlying ICT systems, processes and technologies supporting critical or important functions and ICT services.

Periodic risk assessment is the primary tool for engineers and security analysts to manage risks by maintaining good cyber hygiene, reducing operational downtime and mitigating the potential impact of threats.

A risk assessment is a systematic process of identifying and evaluating identified risks that may impact organisations operations or assets. There are five main steps to performing a risk assessment: Identification of the hazards, Assessing the risks, Controlling the risks, Recording the findings, and Reviewing the controls. Once the vulnerabilities have been identified, the organisation needs to assess the identified risks, and prioritise the remediation efforts. Vulnerabilities should be assessed on their potential impact, and strategies should be developed to mitigate or manage these risks effectively.

Risk assessments are critical for helping organisations make informed decisions, prioritising resources, and proactively managing risks, while minimising potential negative impacts. While the vulnerability management section deals specifically with identification aspects, this section provides guidance to organisations on how to assess and prioritise risks which have been identified within the environment.

When dealing directly with assets, Tenable assists organisations prioritise risk by assigning an Asset Criticality Rating (ACR), and Asset Exposure Score (AES). When dealing with vulnerabilities a Vulnerability Priority Rating (VPR) is assigned. The ACR establishes the priority of each asset based on indicators of business value and criticality. ACR is based on several key metrics such as business purpose, asset type, location, connectivity, capabilities, and third-party data.

Within Tenable One, AES and ACR can be best viewed from the See Details link on the Assets page.

tenable one Inventory			□ 🖉 🕸
ry			
< Back to Asset Inventory			
Sql2019	: May 13, 2024 🤣 Hide Summary 🔨 🗈		
 This asset may have changed since the summar 	ry has been generated		Ges
About this asset The asset 'sql2019' is a virtual machine with a hi a domain controller and DNS server in the netw highly susceptible to cyber threats. Immediate data and overall security posture.	igh asset criticality score of 9 and a relatively high asset exposure rork. However, it is concerning that this asset has 77 critical and 38 attention and remediation are required to mitigate these risks and	e score of 947. It plays a crucial role as 99 high-risk vulnerabilities, making it d protect the organization's sensitive	Weaknesses This saset is exposed to several critical vulnerabilities, including CVE-2021-2641L CVE-2021-4044, CVE-2021-4045, CVE-2021-4076, CVE-2020-0676, CVE-2021-4052, CVE-2031-0655, CVE-2031-0658, and CVE-2022-0670. These vulnerabilities allow for remote code execution, elevation of privileges, and unauthorized access, postent alignment risks to the orazitation's data and avystem. Prompt packing and security measures are essential to
			address these vulnerabilities and minimize the attack surface. Data Breach and Tampering Prinilege Escalation Service Interruption Unauthorized Access and Control Image: Control Image: Control Image: Control Image: Control
Asset Exposure Score 947/1000	Asset Criticality Rating	Weaknesses identified	address these vulnerabilities and minimize the attack surface. Data Breach and Tempering Privilege Escalation Service Interruption Unsuthorized Access and Control Image: Control Contro
Asset Exposure Score 947/1000	Asset Criticality Rating 9/10 Properties Liveboard	Weaknesses Identified 3,450 Attack Paths Weaknesse	address these vulnerabilities and minimize the attack surface. Data Breach and Tampering Wrelege Excalation Service Interruption Unauthorized Access and Control Image: Control
Asset Exposure Score 9477/1000	Asset Criticality Rating 9/10 Properties Liveboard	Weaknesses Identified 3,450 Attack Paths Weaknesse	address these vulnerabilities and minimize the attack surface. Data Breach and Tampering Writelys Exclution Survice Interruption Unsuthrated Access and Control Image: Control Control Image: Control Control Image: Control Control Image: Control Control Image: Control Control Image: Control Control Image: Control Control Image: Control Control Image: Control Control Image: Control Control Image: Control Control Image: Control Control Image: Control Control Image: Control Control Image: Control Control Image: Control Image: Control Image: Control Image: Control Image: Control Image: Control Image: Control Image: Control Image: Control Image:
Asset Exposure Score 947/1000	Asset Criticality Rating 9/10 Properties Liveboard	Weaknesses Identified 3,450 Attack Paths Weaknesse	address these vulnerabilities and minimize the attack surface. Deta Breach and Tampering Previse Excalation Service Interruption Unauthorized Access and Control Image: Control

Tenable VPR scores can be best viewed from the See Details link on the Assets page, and then by selecting Weakness.

ry							
() This asset may have changed since the summary h	nas been generated						Gen Al
About this asset The asset 'sql2019 is a virtual machine with a high controller and DNS server in the network. Howeve cyber threats. Immediate attention and remediati	n asset criticality score of 9 and a relatively rr, it is concerning that this asset has 77 cr ion are required to mitigate these risks and	r high asset exposure score of 947. It plays a crucial role as a domain tical and 399 high-risk vulnerabilities, making it highly susceptible to protect the organization's sensitive data and overall security posture.	Weaknesses This asset is exposed 34527, CVE-2019-1052 access, posing signifi minimize the attack s	to several critical vulnerabilities, including (5, CVE-2019-0555, and CVE-2022-30180. The icant risks to the organization's data and sys urface.	CVE-2021-26411, CVE-2021-40 ese vulnerabilities allow for rei stems. Prompt patching and s	444, CVE-2019-1405, CVE-2021-1875, CVE-2020-0674, mote code exacution, elevation of privileges, and unan ecurity measures are essential to address these vuln	CVE-2021- uthorized erabilities and
			Data Breach and Tamp	ering Privilege Escalation Service Int	Unauthorized Acc	ees and Control	
Asset Exposure Score	Asset Criticality Ratin	g Weaknesses identified 3,450	\bigcirc	Key Properties Asset Class Device Profile Drivers NESSUS:10413,	NESSUS:10884, NESS		
				Last Observed At Jun 5, 2024 at 1	11:55 am		
	Pro	operties Liveboard Attack Paths Weaknes	ies Tags	Exposure Cards Relationships	Accounts		
Q Search	Prr	operties Liveboard Attack Paths Weaknes	es Tags	Exposure Cards Relationships	Accounts		Search
Q Search Weakness Name	Рг	perties Liveboard Attack Paths Weakness	Tags	Exposure Cards Relationships	Accounts	LastSeen V	Search
Q Search Weakness Name CVE-2023-205509	Pri Type Vuinerability	perties Liveboard Attack Paths Weakness Description Severity A side channel vulnerability c C Medium	Tags	Exposure Cards Relationships Impacted Assets 12	Accounts Sources	Last Seen \sim June 8, 2024	Search See details >
Q Search Weakness Name CVE-2022-20569 CVE-2022-43552	Prr Type Vulnerability Vulnerability	perties Liveboard Attack Paths Weakness Description Severity A side channel vulnerability c Medium A use after free vulnerability c Medium	Tags Tags VPR 6.1 6.1 6.4	Exposure Cards Relationships Impacted Assets 102 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107 107	Accounts -	Last Seen 🧹 June 6, 2024 June 8, 2024	Search See details > See details >
C Search Weakness Name CVE-2023-20569 CVE-2022-43552 CVE-2019-1135	Prr Type Vulnerability Vulnerability Vulnerability	perties Liveboard Attack Paths Weakness Description Severity A side channel vulnerability o © Hedium A use after free vulnerability e © Hedium TSK Augnehroneus Abort cond © Medium	es Tags	Exposure Cards Relationships	Accounts	Last Seen ↓ June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024	See details > See details > See details >
Q Search Weakness Name CVE-2023-0569 CVE-2023-4552 CVE-2019-1135 CVE-2023-3023	Prr Type Voherability Voherability Voherability Voherability Voherability	perties Liveboard Attack Paths Weakness Description Severity A side channel vulnerability o © Medium A use after free vulnerability e © Medium TSK Asynchronous Abort cond © Medium Netlogoe RPC Devation of Prl © High	es Tags	Exposure Cards Relationships Impacted Assets IR2	Accounts	Last Seen ↓ June 6, 2024 June 6, 2024 June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024	Search See details > See details > See details > See details >
Q Search Weakness Name CVE-2023-20568 CVE-2023-20568 CVE-2023-20568 CVE-2023-20568 CVE-2023-20568 CVE-2023-20568 CVE-2023-20568 CVE-2023-20563 CVE-2018-12207	Pr Type Vuhrerability Vuhrerability Vuhrerability Vuhrerability Vuhrerability Vuhrerability	Liveboard Attack Paths Wakenes Description Severity A side channel vulnerability o Medium A use after free vulnerability e Medium TSK Augnehronous Abort cond Fedlum Netlogon RPC Develop of Pil 6 High Improper invalidation for pag 7 High	es Tags	Exposure Cards Relationships Impacted Assets 192 197 197 197 194 193	Accounts	Last Seen ↓ June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024	Search See details > See detai
Q Search Weakness Name CVE-2023-30568 CVE-2023-40562 CVE-2019-1135 CVE-2023-30623 CVE-2023-40625 CVE-2019-12207 CVE-2019-15606	Prr Type Vulnerability Vulnerability Vulnerability Vulnerability Vulnerability Vulnerability	Liveboard Attack Paths Weakness Description Severity A side channel vulnerability c © Medium A use after free vulnerability c © Medium TSK Asynchronous Abort cond © Medium Netlogon RPC Elevation of Prl © High Improprier invalidation for pag © High The Bluetooth BR/EDR specifi © Medium	es Tags	Exposure Cards Relationships	Accounts	Last Seen ↓ June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024	See details > See details > See details > See details > See details > See details > See details >
Q Search Weakness Name CVE-2022-20569 CVE-2022-40552 CVE-2022-40552 CVE-2023-40563 CVE-2028-10207 CVE-2019-10207 CVE-2019-4060	Pri Type Vulnerability Vulnerability Vulnerability Vulnerability Vulnerability Vulnerability Vulnerability	Liveboard Attack Paths Weakness Description Severity A side channel vulnerability e Medium A use after free vulnerability e Medium State channel vulnerability e Medium TSK Asynchronous Abort cond Medium Netlogon RPC Elevation of Pril Migh Improper invalidation for pag High The Bluetooth BR/DBI specifi Medium The Bluetooth BR/DBI specifi Medium	es Tops	Exposure Cards Relationships	Accounts	Last Seen → June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024 June 8, 2024	See details > See details >

For more details on AES, ACR, and VPR, please see the <u>Risk Assessment section of the NIS 2</u> <u>Cyber Exposure study</u>.

Scan Health

For more details on AES, ACR, and VPR, please see the <u>Risk Assessment section of the NIS 2</u> <u>Cyber Exposure study</u>.

• Article 9.1, Financial entities shall continuously monitor and control the security and functioning of ICT systems and tools

The <u>Authentication Summary dashboard</u> for Tenable Vulnerability Management and the <u>Authentication Summary dashboard</u> for Tenable Security Center brings together plugins used to verify successful authentication of assets during vulnerability scans, providing security administrators visibility into areas of concern so the appropriate actions can be taken.





Authentication is a process of connecting to a system by providing credentials to gain access. Systems are scanned using different network protocols (SSH, SMB, HTTPS, SNMP, etc.) to gain access to the target asset. For example, logging into a remote host via SSH using a username and password is a method of authentication. Each asset can allow authentication using several protocols. Assets with more than one available authentication protocol (for example, a Windows server running a SQL server) could report both authentication success and failure. Understanding this fact during analysis is key to determining if the system was successfully scanned or not. While in many cases the successful authentication of an asset may seem binary, there are many examples of successfully scanned systems with authentication failures. Tenable recommends system administrators review all of the failures and investigate the services which are enabled on the asset for a complete analysis.

Credentialed vulnerability scans are easier with Nessus Agents, because after the agents are installed, they don't need on-going host credentials. When Nessus Agents are installed (either manually or with a software management system), they are installed under the local SYSTEM account in Windows or root on Unix-based operating systems. The agents then inherit the permissions of the account used for installation so they can perform credential scans, even if the credentials on the system have changed.

Tenable Nessus Agents are designed to have minimal impact on the system and the network, giving organisations the benefit of direct access to all hosts without disrupting your end users. Additionally Tenable Nessus Agents provide extended scan coverage and continuous security, eliminate the need for credential management, reduce network bandwidth, and minimise maintenance.

There are also cases where there is overlap in the intent of the check. For example, if you use OS fingerprinting without credentials in a network-based scan and query the system for the exact version of its OS in a credentialed scan, this overlap heightens the credential findings over the network, since the network version tends to be a best guess.

Local checks are required to ensure the scans are complete and accurate. Users enable local checks by providing credentials with elevated privileges, administrative access, or by deploying Tenable Nessus Agents. Tenable Security Center and Tenable Vulnerability Management requires privileged access to provide a comprehensive assessment of risk on an asset. The more access to a system Tenable Security Center and Tenable Vulnerability Management has, the more complete the vulnerability detection.

Additional information can be located in the <u>Vulnerability Assessment/Scanning section of the</u> <u>Vulnerability Management Cyber Study</u>.

Third-Party Risk Management

One key area that DORA regulates is Third-Party Risk Management. Third-party risk is significant, often because third parties have access to privileged information, such as customer data, and internal systems. Organisations can be negatively impacted in the form of data breaches, operational disruptions, and reputational damage. DORA requires that financial institutions identify their third party service providers. Tenable can assist organisations identify third-party vendors by identifying software, hardware, and cloud services that have been identified within the organisation. In addition to the Articles previously listed, the following DORA Articles are related to Third-Party Risk Management efforts:

- Article 8.2, Financial entities shall, on a continuous basis, identify all sources of ICT risk.
- Article 8.3, Financial entities shall identify all information assets and ICT assets, including those on remote sites, network resources and hardware equipment.
- Article 9.4(e), Controls for ICT change management, including changes to software, hardware, firmware components, systems or security parameters are documented.

In this section the following Tenable products will be highlighted:

- Tenable Vulnerability Management
- Tenable Security Center
- Tenable Cloud Security

Tenable has previously published a Cyber Exposure Study for the Network and Information Security 2 (NIS 2) Directive. While DORA and NIS 2 have a different focus, the two are related and work together to enhance cybersecurity and operational resilience in the EU. DORA builds on the standards set by the NIS 2 for ICT and resilience. The NIS 2 provides general guidelines and DORA tailors those specifically to the financial sector. The incident reporting requirements are aligned with NIS 2, and both DORA and the NIS 2 place a strong emphasis on third-party risk management.

Identifying installed applications is a key factor in the identification of third-party vendors, reducing risk, and securing the organisation from unwanted attacks. A software inventory helps demonstrate compliance with regulatory controls and Service Level Agreements (SLA) for software used in the environment. From the perspective of "less is more," a software inventory also identifies unnecessary software running in the environment, which increases the attack surface without

providing a business advantage. Tenable Vulnerability Management and Tenable Security Center help organisations identify software vendors and build a software inventory.

There are several software discovery plugins that run by default in the following scan templates:

- Basic and Advanced Agent Scans
- Basic and Advanced [Network] Scans
- Credentialed Patch Audit
- Internal PCI Network Scan
- Collect Inventory Agent Scan (see below)

Inventory Agent Scanning in Tenable Vulnerability Management contains a Collect Inventory template which provides faster scan results and minimises the Nessus Agent load and <u>installed</u> footprint on the endpoint. Leveraging this new scan policy ensures the agent only runs an inventory collection plugin locally and sends results to Tenable Vulnerability Management for processing. Scan results are presented in the same format as traditional scans. While there is a coverage differential compared to using a traditional agent, the Inventory Agent provides a great option for host-based scanning on hosts with limited resources.

Note: Inventory Agent Scanning is supported on the following platforms:

- Tenable Vulnerability Management Agent scans
- Tenable Security Center imports of Tenable Vulnerability Management cloud agent scans

Other methods of application identification to utilise software enumeration plugins. The most common software enumeration plugins are <u>OS Identification (11936)</u>, <u>Microsoft Windows Installed</u> <u>Software Enumeration (credentialed check) (20811)</u>, <u>Software Enumeration (SSH) (22869)</u>, and <u>List</u> <u>Installed Mac OS X Software (83991)</u>. There are several other software enumeration plugins that provide information that can help build a software inventory:

- OS Fingerprinting via DHCP (7120)
- Oracle Installed Software Enumeration (Linux / Unix) (71642)
- Oracle Installed Software Enumeration (Windows) (71643)

- OS Identification and Installed Software Enumeration over SSH v2 (Using New SSH Library) (97993)
- Unix Software Discovery Command Checks (<u>152741</u>)
- Unix Software Discovery Commands Available (152742)
- Unix Software Discovery Commands Not Available (152743)

Note: Plugin Spotlight: Plugin ID 22869, Software Enumeration (SSH), identifies the package list on Linux systems, which includes package name, version, epoch information for each package installed on the system, and (on RPM-based systems) the date the operating system reports that a package was installed. This information is included in the plugin output (also referred to as "vulnerability text") in the scan results.

Dashboards and Reports, such as Establishing a Software Inventory (SEE), for Tenable Security Center, helps demonstrate compliance with regulatory controls and Service Level Agreements (SLAs) for software used in the environment. From the perspective of "less is more," a software inventory also identifies unnecessary software running in the environment, which increases the attack surface without providing a business advantage.

nable.sc [°] Dashboard - Solutions Analysis - Scans - Report	ing ▼ Assets ▼ Workflow ▼ Users ▼	6 ³
blishing a Software Inventory (SEE)		C Refresh All Switch Dashboard * Options
upported Product Summary - Operating Systems	Configuration Management - Detected Software	CIS - Installed Software
Fedora Ubuntu Slackware Debian Mandrake Mac OS X CentOS openSUSE Microsoft	Windows OS Linux OS macOS Other OS OS ID Failed Chrome Firefox Internet Explorer Microsoft Edge Safari Software per IP Common Apps Open Source Apps Apps M/VPR->7 Unsupported	Linux Mac OS X Software Microsoft Solaris
visitet: 10 minutes ann	Last locate: 10 minutes and	Last Updated: 10 minutes ago
Galed, to minutes ayo	Last opuates. To minutes ago	InfoSec Team - Roadblocks Currently Gating Remediation
ware Inventory - Active Processes and Startup Programs	Unsupported Product Summary - Applications	Hosts Requiring Additional Patch/Config Act.
ems 1 to 6 of 6 《 < Page 1 of 1 > 》	33 Items 1 to 5 of 33 《 < Page 1 of 7 > »	Windows Host Missing Rollup KBs 1059
		Vindows Hosts with Unsupported/Missing Serv. 0
SIN ID NAME TOTAL	PLUGIN ID NAME SEVERITY TOTAL	Windows Reboot Required to Apply Patch 302
56 Microsoft Windows SMB Service Enumeration 2001	62758 Microsoft XML Parser (Critical 492	Vendor Requires Registry Key Change to Reme 1407
		Remote Host Missing Patches - Includes Step 1883
Microsoft Windows Startup Software Enumeration 1393	90544 Apple QuickTime Unsup Critical 367	Remediation Requires Disabling Something on 1412
59 WMI Available 1345	40362 Mozilla Foundation Uns Oritical 347	Red Hat/CentOS Hosts Where Service Restart 1
Microsoft Windows Process Module Information 1343	64784 Microsoft SQL Server U Oritical 226	Last Updated: 22 hours ago
29 Microsoft Windows Process Information 1342	56212 Adobe Acrobat Unsupp Critical 96	
483 Unix / Linux Running Processes Information 34	Last Updated: May 11, 2022 13:31	CSC - Inventory of Authorized and Unauthorized Software
		Last 24 Hrs Last 7 Days > 7 Days
.dated: 22 hours ago	Network Services Summary - Service Detection Summary	Unsupported Apps 0 0 393
ware Summany - Ton Installed Software		Missing Patches 3 8 1342
	95 Items 1 to 7 of 95 « < Page 1 of 14 > »	
Items 1 to 6 of 100 « < Page 1 of 17 > »	PLUGIN ID NAME SEVERITY TOTAL	Last Updated: 9 minutes ago
E COUNT DETECTION METHOD	20007 SSL Version 2 and 3 Prot Oritical 344	Unsupported Product Summary - Applications by Type and
Il Administrator Password Solution 1357 Active	10205 rlogin Service Detection High 3	Percentage
osoft Silverlight [version 5.1.50918.0] 1192 Active	104743 TLS Version 1.0 Protocol Medium 2490	General 0%
gle Update Helper [version 1.3.35.4 1133 Active	121010 TLS Version 1.1 Protocol Medium 2293	Windows 38% *nix 0%
be Refresh Manager [version 1.8.0] 1011 Active	12218 mDNS Detection (Remot Medium 40	Databases 25%
		Webservers 1%
osott visuai C++ 2010 x86 Redistrib 973 Active	10061 Echo Service Detection Medium 33	Other Operating Systems 0%
osoft Visual C++ 2010 x64 Redistrib 941 Active	10198 Quote of the Day (QOTD Medium 30	Other Families 0%

For more information on Software Inventory see the Establishing a Software Inventory Cyber Exposure Study <u>here</u>.

The Outstanding Remediations Tracking dashboards for Tenable Security Center and Tenable Vulnerability Management address third-party risk associated with unsupported, out-dated, and end-of-life software. These dashboards also address risk associated with third-party products by identifying software/applications that are out of compliance or present risk to the organisation.

tanding ke	mediation T	racking (Exp	lore)					Jump to Da	ashboard ~	B Dashboards	더 Share	[→ Expo	rt ~ 🚺 🕻 Mo
anding Remediat	ions - Time since Pa	tch Publication 🛈				(Outstanding Microsoft	Remediations - Time	e since Patch Publi	cation (i)			
	Exploitable	Critical	High	Medium	Low			Exploitable	Critical	High	Media	um	Low
< 30 Days	0	0	0	0	0		< 30 Days	0	0	0	0		0
31-90 Days	0	0	0	0	0		31-90 Days	0	0	0	0		0
91-180 Days	0	0	2	2	0		91-180 Days	0	0	0	0		0
181+ Days	25.2K	12.6K	29.7K	5.7K	244		181+ Days	25.1K	12.5K	29.4K	5.3	к	222
nding Remediati	ions By Device Type	i				E	End of Life Software De	etection ()			CONCINA		
anding Remediati	ions By Device Type	()		Medium		E	End of Life Software De Plugin NAME	etection () count		FIRST VALUE O	F ORIGINA	FIRST VA	ALUE OF PLUGIN IC
anding Remediati	ions By Device Type Exploitable Vulnerabilities	① Critical Vulnerabilities	High Vulnerabilities	Medium Vulnerabilities	Low Vulnerabilities	E	End of Life Software De PLUGIN NAME Microsoft XML Pars Microsoft .NET Fran	etection ① COUNT ser (595 mew 103		FIRST VALUE OF	F ORIGINA	FIRST VA 62758 72704	ALUE OF PLUGIN IC
anding Remediati	Exploitable Vulnerabilities	Critical Vulnerabilities 0	High Vulnerabilities	Medium Vulnerabilities 0	Low Vulnerabilities	E	End of Life Software De PLUGIN NAME Microsoft XML Pars Microsoft .NET Frar Microsoft SQL Serv	etection ① count ser (595 mew 103 er U 60		FIRST VALUE OI (*) Critical (*) Critical (*) Critical	F ORIGINA	FIRST VA 62758 72704 64784	ALUE OF PLUGIN IC
anding Remediati	Exploitable Vulnerabilities	 Critical Vulnerabilities 0 	High Vulnerabilities	Medium Vulnerabilities 0	Low Vulnerabilities	8	End of Life Software De PLUGIN NAME Microsoft XML Pars Microsoft .NET Fran Microsoft SQL Serv WinZip Unsupporte	etection () count ser (595 mew 103 er U 60 ed V 26		 FIRST VALUE OF Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical 	F ORIGINA	FIRST VA 62758 72704 64784 78675	ALUE OF PLUGIN IC
anding Remediati Linux Devices Unix Devices	Exploitable Vulnerabilities	① Critical Vulnerabilities 0	High Vulnerabilities 0 0	Vedium Vulnerabilities 0	Low Vulnerabilities 0	E	End of Life Software De PLUGIN NAME Microsoft XML Pars Microsoft .NET Fran Microsoft SQL Serv WinZip Unsupporte Adobe AIR Unsupp	etection O etection 595 mew 103 er U 60 ed V 26 orter 21		FIRST VALUE OI (*) Critical (*) Critical (*) Critical (*) Critical (*) Critical	F ORIGINA	FIRST VA 62758 72704 64784 78675 55806	LLUE OF PLUGIN IC
anding Remediati	Exploitable Vulnerabilities 0 0	© Critical Vulnerabilities 0 0	High Vulnerabilities 0 0	Medium Vulnerabilities 0	Low Vulnerabilities 0 0	E	End of Life Software De PLUGIN NAME Microsoft XML Pars Microsoft .NET Fran Microsoft .QL Serv WinZip Unsupporte Adobe AIR Unsupp Adobe Acrobat Uns	count stection 0 count 595 mew 103 er U 60 ed V 26 orter 21 supp 19		FIRST VALUE OI () Critical () Critical () Critical () Critical () Critical () Critical () Critical	F ORIGINA	FIRST VA 62758 72704 64784 78675 55806 56212	LLUE OF PLUGIN IC
Linux Devices Unix Devices MacOS Devices	Explorable Explorabilities 0 0 0	Crtical Vulnerabilities 0 0 0	High Vulnerabilities	Medium Vulnerabilities 0 0	Low Vulnerabilities 0 0 0 0 0	E	End of Life Software De PLUGIN NAME Microsoft XML Pars Microsoft .NET Fran Microsoft SQL Serv WinZip Unsupporte Adobe AIR Unsupp Adobe Acrobat Uns Microsoft Visual Fo	count setection 0 count 595 mew 103 er U 60 ed V 26 orter 21 supp 19 xPr 16		FIRST VALUE OI () Critical () Critical () Critical () Critical () Critical () Critical () Critical () Critical	F ORIGINA	FIRST VA 62758 72704 64784 78675 55806 56212 92700	ALUE OF PLUGIN IC
Linux Devices Unix Devices MacOS Devices	Cons By Device Type Exploitable 0 0 0 0 0 0	 Critical Vulnerabilities 0 0 0 	High Vulnerabilities 0 0 0	Vulnerabilities 0 0 0	Low Vulnerabilities 0 0 0 0 0	E	End of Life Software Dr PLUGIN NAME Microsoft XML Pars Microsoft .NET Fran Microsoft SQL Serv WinZIp Unsupporte Adobe AIR Unsupp Adobe Acrobat Uns Microsoft Visual Fo Wireshark / Ethered	COUNT ser (595 mew 103 er U 60 ed V 26 ordre 21 supp 19 xPr 16 al U 11		FIRST VALUE OI Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical	F ORIGINA	FIRST VA 62758 72704 64784 78675 55806 56212 92700 56710	ALUE OF PLUGIN IC
Linux Devices Unix Devices MacOS Devices Network Devices	Cons By Device Type VExploitable 0 0 12	 Critical Vulnerabilities 0 0 0 10 	High Vulnerabilities 0 0 0 62	Medium Vulnerabilities 0 0 0 33	Low Vulnerabilities 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	E	End of Life Software De PLUGIN NAME Microsoft XML Pars Microsoft SQL Serv WinZip Unsupporte Adobe AIR Unsupp Adobe Acrobat Uns Microsoft Visual Fo Wireshark / Etherea Adobe Reader Unsu	COUNT ser (595 mew 103 er U 60 ed V 26 ordress 21 uppp 19 xPr 16 al U 11		FIRST VALUE OI Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical	F ORIGINA	FIRST VA 62758 72704 64784 78675 55806 56212 92700 56710 56213	LLUE OF PLUGIN IC
Linux Devices Unix Devices MacOS Devices Network Devices	VUINERABILITES VUINERABILITES 0 0 0 0 12	 Critical Vulnerabilities 0 0 0 10 	High Vulnerabilities 0 0 0 62	Vulnerabilities 0 0 0 33	Low Vulnerabilities 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	E	End of Life Software Do PLUGIN NAME Microsoft XML Pars Microsoft .NET Fran Microsoft SQL Serv WinZip Unsupport Adobe AIR Unsupp Adobe Acrobat Uns Microsoft Visual Fo Wireshark / Etheree Adobe Reader Unsu Adobe Flash Player	etection () er (595 new 103 er U 60 ed V 26 orte 21 uppp 19 XPr 16 al U 11 upp 11 Un 10		FIRST VALUE OI Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical Critical	F ORIGINA	FIRST VA 62758 72704 64784 78675 55806 56212 92700 56710 56213 59196	LUE OF PLUGIN I

O

Tenable Cloud Security not only automates threat detection and remediation to eliminate noise, but also identifies cloud services and prioritises risk by continuously monitoring the cloud environment. Tenable analyses cloud provider logs to reveal the identity behind each activity and affected accounts, resources, and services.

From the Tenable Cloud Security dashboard, organisations can immediately begin to identify resources that have been identified such as Compute, Container, and more. Organisations can identify vendors such as AWS, Azure, and GCP.

Ctenable Cloud Secu	rity Q Se	earch users, roles & resources	All accounts ~
 Dashboard Inventory Findings Activity Log 	aWS Azure AWS Azure 4 accounts 3 subscriptions 0 1 3	2 Al & Machine Learning resources 3.8K IAM resources LAM resources 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	99 Container resources 1.4K Kubernetes resources
 IAM Data Workload Workload Kubernetes ↓ IaC Policies 	Open Findings () 84 ^{Critical} 560 ^{High} 7% Categories () MM 298 Compute 24	Severity is Critical or HSh V Trends () 164 Opened findings 43 have high/fictical severity 60 due to new features ()	Last 30 days V Closed findings average time to resolve 80 days
C Compliance	Image: Workload Protection Image: Data 23 Image: Workload Protection 173 Image: Data 23 Image: Workload Protection 99 Image: Data 23 Image: Secrets 24 Image: Data 23	Constant of the second se	
	Compliance ① Last 30 days v	Toxic Combinations ③	If you only have 5 minutes ①
	Public exposure of data resources 86%	Co public workloads with critical vulnerabilities and high privileges	Overprivileged IAM Role OrganizationAccountAccessRole #93. TG_Test (522814698074)
簃 Settings	Construction S5% S5%	57 public workloads with an unpatched OS	Virtual Machine has vulnerabilities that should be addressed gke-prod-cluster-1-default-pool-d713 Prd-Env (prd-env

Clicking on Compute resources provides a shortcut to the Inventory tab, displaying important inventory items such as Volumes, Images, Instances, Virtual Machines, and more allowing fast and easy third-party vendor and application identification.

Cloud Sect	urity		٩	Search users, roles & resource:	5					All accounts 🗸 🔐
■ Dashboard	aws Azure GCP		GCP	2 Al & Machine Learning resources		528 Compute resources		99 Container resources		474 Data resources
 Inventory Findings Activity Log 	4 accounts	3 subscriptions	5 projects	Auto Scaling Groups EBS Snapshots ERS Volumes	413 <u>16</u> <u>59</u> 120	Azure App Services Logic Apps Managed Disks	41 <u>6</u> <u>2</u> 14	GCP App Engine Applications App Engine Services Cloud Euroctions	74 1 1	+ 529 Management resources
豪 IAM	Open Findings ①			EC2 Images EC2 Instances Elastic Beanstalk Environ	<u>59</u> <u>106</u> iments <u>3</u>	Virtual Machines	6 13	Compute Inages Compute Inages Compute Snapshots	24 9 2	Last 30 days 🗸
🖏 Workload	84 Critical	560 ^{High} 17%		Lambda Functions Launch Configurations Launch Templates	<u>32</u> 12 6			Instance Groups Instance Templates VM Instances	5 1 21	Θ
> IaC Ø Policies	裔 IAM 298	Compute 24		Opened findings 43 have high/critical severity 60 due to new features ①			erity (i)	Closed findings Ignored findings average time to resolve 80 days		
Compliance	Workload Protection 173 Secrets	Data 23 C Anomaly 3	Detection			O Tickets created				
	24									
	Compliance ① Last 30 days ~			Toxic Combinations (20 public workload privileges	cal vulnerabilities and high	If you only have 5 minutes ① Overprivileged IAM Role OrganizationAccountAccessRole				
段 Settings	C Tenable Best Practi	ces —	55%	57 public workload	Is with an u	npatched OS		Virtual Mach gke-prod-clus	ine has vulner ster-1-default-p	rabilities that should be addressed ool-d713

For more information on Tenable Cloud Security, reference the following documentation.

- Ø ------

Learn More

REGULATION (EU) 2022/2554 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 14 December 2022 on digital operational resilience for the financial sector and amending Regulations (EC) No 1060/2009, (EU) No 648/2012, (EU) No 600/2014, (EU) No 909/2014 and (EU) 2016/1011

O

Implementing act:

- Implementing and delegated acts DORA
- <u>Commission's adopted implementing and delegated acts</u>

Implementing and delegated acts in the official journal:

- RTS on ICT risk management framework
- <u>RTS on ICT incidents classification</u>
- RTS on ICT third-party policy
- DR on CTPPs designation criteria
- DR on DORA oversight fees